CONCEPT OF SHURA IN SUNNI ISLAM

Oleh: Drs. Abdul Muis Naharong, M.A.

I. Introduction

Nowadays, shura is a persistent topic whenever people discuss the Islamic concept of state or democracy in Islam. This is primarily due to the fact that the Qur'an commands Muslims to manage their affairs through shura.

Etymologically, shūrā means to extract honey from the small hollow in the rock in which it is deposited by the wild bees; or to gather it from its hives and from other places. In its technical sense if may be defined as mutual consultation or debate in order that one may see another's opinion. Shūrā can also be defined as "mutual advice through mutual discussions on an absolutely equal footing, or a collective endeavour for seeking an objective truth. The Qur'ān employs the term shūrā in these two latter senses when it asks the Prophet to consult the companions in the affairs concerning community. This verse (3:159), together with chapter 42, verse 386 lay down the basic principle which guide Muslims in managing their affairs.

Shūrā, as an institution, was not an Islamic innovation. It was a custom dating back to the pre-Islamic era. Before Islam came, the Arab had an institution called nādī (assembly). It was a tribal council composed of the elders of the tribe. Important matters of the tribe, such as peace, war and security, were decided by mutual consultations in the council. This tradition was so strongly rooted in Arab society that even the Bedouins conducted their affairs by mutual consultation. Ibn Atiyya (d. 993), an early Qur'ān interpreter, related that a Bedouin chief said that he would never be cheated until his people were also cheated, because he would do nothing until he had consulted them. It is this institution which was reorganized in Islam and was given the name shūrā.

In pre-Islamic Mecca, after the death of Qusayy ibn Kilab, the founder of the confederacy of Mecca, who decided public affairs by himself, supreme authority was vested in a relatively small number of privileged persons whose distinction was based on social status. These persons were the heads of the various clans of the Quraish and of their allies who together constituted the body known as al-Malā' (council of elders) or nādī which regulated the religious, social, political, economic and cultural life of the community. This body decided all matters it chose to consider and their decision was final. All free members of different clans and their allies above the age of forty were al-

lowed to attend the meetings of the council at Dar al-Nadwah. But it appears that this age condition was not strictly observed because it was said that Abu al-Hakam (Abu Jahl) attended its meeting when he was only thirty years old and Hakim b. Hizam attended its meeting when he was 20 years old. At least in theory, all the members of the council had equal status and none could exercise authority or supremacy without the consent or permission of the other members. But persons or leaders of high caliber, such as Hashim, 'Abd al-Muttalib and others, could overrule the decisions of the members of the council or at least get them around to agree with their points of view. 10

This council of elders had only legislative and juridical powers. It did not have any executive power, the execution and implementation of the decision of the elders was left to the clans and families. 11 It therefore lacked the coercive power to execute its will. In spite of this, in an extraordinary circumstance, it could give an effective sanction to the recalcitrants or adversar-

ies by bringing economic or social pressures against them. 12

This paper will discuss shura under the Prophet and the first four Caliphs, and the viewpoints of pre-modern and modern Muslim scholars on shura. In expounding these issues, I shall put forward two different lines of opinion held by the Muslim scholars.

II. The Prophet and Shurā

The authority exercised by the Prophet was total in the sense that the believers had no right to question him. They are called upon to obey God and His Prophet unconditionally. 13 The Qur'an says that he who obeys the Prophet obeys God. 14 But this is not to say that the Prophet was an absolute ruler. This is clear from the aforementioned verses which enjoin the Prophet to consult his followers in conducting the affairs of the Muslims where there is no clear-cut divine guidance in the Qur'an. The Prophet when asked as to what they should do after his demise in matters where there were no specific injunctions in the Qur'an, said that they should resolve their matters through mutual counsel on condition that the consultants be men of piety and sound opinion. 15 The Qur'an refers to these people as ulu'l amr. It states:

And when there comes to them any tidings whether of peace or of war, they spread it about: Whereas if they had referred it to the Messenger and to those in authority (ulul amr) among them, surely those of them, who can elicit the truth from it, would have understood it. And had it not been for the grace of Allah upon you and His mercy, you would have followed Satan, save a few. 16

In this verse those in authority (ūlū'l amr) means men of exceptional talent and wisdom capable of deriving knowledge from the matter referred to them.¹⁷ Such a body of men was found around the Prophet who gave their opinion on matters referred to them. According to Muhammad Imarah, the contemporary Egyptian intellectual, the most important members of this group are Abū Bakr al-Siddiq, 'Umar b. al-Khattāb, Uthmān b. Affān, Ali b. Abi Talib, Talha b. 'Ubayd Allah, al-Zubayr b. al-Awwam, 'Abd al-Rahman b. 'Awf, Sa'd b. Abi Waqqas, Said b. Zayd b. Nufail, and Abu 'Ubaida b. alJarrāh. 18 'Imārah, however, did not provide a source for his statement.

In the history of Islam, it is reported that consultations with close associates was the general practice of the Prophet in spite of the fact that his actions were guided by revelation. He usually sought advice from his leading companions on all important matters of the state. A'isha, the Prophet's wife, said that she did not see anyone who consulted people more than the Prophet. For instance, on the advice of Hubab b. al-Mundhir, the Prophet changed his early decision as to where Muslims should encamp during the battle of Badr, Before the battle of Uhud, the Prophet also sought advice from his followers. Not only in matters of war did the Prophet consult his companions, but also in worldly affairs where there were no specific injunctions in the Qur'an. For example, before God sent down a revelation which deals with the accusation of the slanderers against his wife. A'isha, the Prophet consulted 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib and Usāmah b. Zayd as to what he should do about that matter. Even the call to prayers (adhān) resulted from mutual consultation. Sa

It should be noted that once the decision was made the Prophet abided by it. We observe this from the rejection of the Prophet to change his decision as to where the Muslims should fight the attacking Meccans. Before the battle of Uhud, the Prophet and some of his leading and experienced companions wanted to defend Medina from within but the majority of his followers, especially the younger and newly converted Muslims, wanted to go out to confront the enemy. The Prophet accepted the majority's opinion and later rejected the advice of some of his companions to change his decision. When they asked him to stay, the Prophet replied that "a Prophet should not take off his armour after he has put it on (for the battle) until Allah decides the case."24 This is, in fact, in accordance with the command of Allah in the Qur'an which reads "Consult them (O Muhammad!) in the affair; when you have determined (upon a course), then put your trust in God."25 The Prophet, when asked about the meaning of 'azama, said that "consult knowledgeable people then follow them."²⁶ Al-Qurtubi (d. 1273), quoting the early Qur'an interpreter, Qatada (d. 736), asserted that God commanded the Prophet to carry out the decision he has taken, and he should put his trust in God.²⁷ Abd al-Hamid Mutawalli, a contemporary Muslim scholar, asserted that 'azama meant that "after obtaining advice from the community, the Prophet should determine upon a course in accordance with the advice tendered and for its practical consequences, whatever they be, be should put his trust in God."28

During the time of the Prophet, the shūrā was not a formal institution. The Prophet consulted his companions if need be. The *ahl al-shūrā* or the consultative body was also informal. The people in this consultative body were not the representatives of the whole community in the sense that they were elected by them in the modern sense.

IV. The First Four Caliphs and Shurā

After the demise of the Prophet, Abu Bakr was elected Caliph. Abu Bakr, as reported, was elected initially by a few leading companions from the

Meccan Immigrants and the Medinese Anṣār after a long debate in the hall of Banū Sā'ida. In this meeting, the leaders of the Medinese Anṣār rejected Abū Bakr's and Abū al-Nu'mān's²⁹ claim that the *Muhājirūn al-Awwalūn* (the First Immigrants), who were from the Quraish, were more entitled to succeed the Prophet as the leader of the Muslim community. They (the leaders of the Medinese Anṣār) proposed that the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār elect their respective leaders.³⁰ They also turned down Abū Bakr's proposal that 'Umar b. al-Khatṭāb or Abū 'Ubayda b. al-Jarrāḥ be elected Caliph. 'Umar, fearing a complete breach would occur, asked Abū Bakr to raise his hand. When Abū Bakr did so, 'Umar gave him his allegiance followed by the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār who were present in the hall of Banū Sā'ida.³¹ On the next day, people swore allegiance to Abū Bakr as a body after the pledge in the hall.³²

Abū Bakr, in his inaugural speech, said to the people: You have elected me (as your chief executive) although I do not think I am the best among you. If you think I do right support me; If I am wrong, set me right. Obey

me as long as I obey God and His Messenger. 33

During his caliphate, Abū Bakr, as the first successor of the Prophet, must have followed the precedence set up by the Prophet in the matter of consulting the leading companions when he was confronted with a problem. But this consultation was vague and informal. The "consultative body" whom the caliph could consult with was, as Montgomery Watt stated, also some what informal. The members of this "consultative body" were mainly the leading members of al-Muhājirūn al-Awwalūn³⁵ and several leading companions from the Medinese Ansār. 36

According to the classical Sunni image, during the caliphate of Abu Bakr, it is reported that whenever he wanted to make a decision, he referred to the Qur'an. If he found a nass (text/provision) relating to the matter, he based his decision on that text, but in the absence of a clear nass, he referred to the Sunnah of the Prophet. If he found one which can be employed to decide the matter under consideration, he used it. But if he did not, he asked people whether they knew the Prophet had made a decision about the same matter or not; and if that too failed, he then summoned the leaders of the Muslims to be consulted. Finally, he decided the matter based on the consensus reached by the shūrā.³⁷ In spite of this, it is a historical fact that Abū Bakr sometimes did not agree with the members of his "shura" on several matters. For example, he decided to fight those who declined to pay the zakāt (alms tax) despite the fact that most of the members of his "shura", including 'Umar ibn Khattāb, opposed Abū Bakr's opinion.³⁸ Another instance of Abū Bakr's opposition to the opinion of the ahl al-shūrā is that despite the opposition of the majority of the members of the shura, he sent an expedition to Syria under a young and inexperiencedcommander. Usamah b. Zayd.39

Abū Bakr appointed 'Umar b. al-Khattāb caliph after he (Abū Bakr) consulted 'Abd al-Raḥman b. 'Awf and 'Uthman b. Affān.40

During the caliphate of 'Umar, we have details of two important shūrās, one on the eve of the battle of al-Qādisiyya, a battle fought between the Mu-

slim and the Persian armies in 636, to decide whether or not the Caliph (Umar) should lead the Muslim army in person, and the other to elect his

Tabari reported that before the battle of al-Qadisiyya, 'Umar intended to lead the Muslim army in person and he, therefore, made 'Ali the deputy in charge in Medina before he headed towards Iraq. However, when the Muslim army stopped at Sirar, a spring near Medina, there arose a serious debate as to whether the caliph should go back to Medina or continue to be the commander of the Muslim army. 'Umar, therefore, ordered his army to congregate, explained the purpose of the meeting to them and waited for an answer. The people said that he should go with them. He agreed with them and said he would gounless there was a better opinion. Then he sent for the ahl al-Ra'y (the well-informed persons) and asked for their opinion about his intention to lead the Muslim army in person. All of them but Talha were of the opinion that he should stay in Medina and send another companion to lead the Muslim army if he wanted to be victorious.41 Abd al-Rahman b. 'Awf was against 'Umar's intention to lead the Muslim army in person because he was afraid that the defeat of the Muslim army under the Caliph's command or his death in the battle would bring disaster to the Muslim. 42

After holding shura with the ahl al-Ra'y, he asked his army to congregate and addressed them:

Verily God, the Honoured and the Great, has united through Islam its adherents and bound their hearts together. He has made them brothers in it (Islam). Among themselves the Muslims are like a body, if one limb is afflicted, the others cannot escape the consequence. Therefore, it is enjoined upon the Muslims that their affairs should be settled by consultation among themselves and among the well-informed persons. The (common) people must follow those in authority and adhere to those things which are agreed upon and approved by them, and those in authority must follow their important opinion of the strategy of war. O people, I was a man who had the same opinion as yours (that I should go to the front) until the well-informed dissuaded me from going. Now I am of the opinion that I should stay behind and send somebody else. 43

The second case of shūrā was the shūrā conducted by the majlis al-shūrā (the electoral body) constituted by 'Umar to elect his successor. This electoral body consisted of six persons chosen from the members of the ahl al-Ra'y or al-Muhājirun al-Awwalun. They are 'Uthman b. Affan, 'Ali b. Abi Ṭālib, Talha, al-Zubayr b. 'Awwam, 'Abd al-Rahman b. 'Auf, and Sa'd b. Abi Waqqas. 44 Umar ordered these people to elect a caliph from among themselves and added that they should consult each other for three days until they could elect a caliph on the fourth day.45 It is reported that when this group gathered and consulted each other, 'Abd al-Rahman b. 'Awf said to them that he was not going to compete with them, and if they wished, he could select a caliph from among them. All of them agreed to let 'Abd al-Rahman decide the

case.46 'Abd al-Rahman, in carrying out the task of selecting a caliph, consulted not only the members of the majlis al-shurā constituted by 'Umar but also the companions of the Prophet, the leaders of the armies and the distinguished people who were present in Medina. 47 Despite the fact that there was difference of opinion over the procedure of the election and the contention of the people from Banu Hashim that 'Ali should be elected caliph, 'Uthman was finally elected caliph. 48

The members of shura during the caliphate of 'Umar, besides those from the period of Abu Bakr, also included the Qur'an reciters (qurra'). They (the members of the shura) consisted of the young and the aged. 49 'Umar was also reported to have said about the importance of shura, that "the opinion of one man was like the cloth woven of one thread; the opinion of two was like the cloth made of twisted thread; and the opinion of three (or more) was like a piece of cloth woven of several threads together that could hardly be torn to

During the caliphate of 'Uthman and 'Ali, due to the unfavorable circumstances, the institution of shura, as it existed under 'Umar, disappeared. From this period onwards, shurā seems to lose its practical significance. It again became an issue when Mu'awiyah decided to appoint his son, Yazid, as his heir-apparent. Several sons of the leading companions of the Prophet, among them 'Abd Allah b. 'Umar, 'Abd Allah b. al-Zubayr, and 'Abd al-Rahman b. Abi Bakr, opposed Mu'awiyah's decision. Ibn 'Umar, when asked by Mu'awiyah about his opinion on this matter, replied that the Muslims should elect the best man as caliph, 51 and 'Abd al-Rahman b. Abi Bakr and Ibn al-Zubayr stated that the election of the caliph should be conducted by way of shura. 52 Mu'awiyah, however, rejected their proposals.

During the Umayyad period, it was 'Umar b. 'Abd al-Aziz who tried to revive the institution of shura when he became the governor of Medina in 700. When he arrived in Medina, he sent for ten jurists⁵³ of the city. When they had gathered before him, he said to them:

Verily I have summoned you for a business of which you shall be rewarded, and in it you will become the upholders of the truth. I do not wish to decide any matter except with the opinion of all of you or with the opinion of those who are present among you. If you see someone breaking the laws or if you know my administrative officer ('amil) does something wrong, you must inform me.⁵⁴

It should be pointed out that with the political victory of Mu'awiyah, the shura was gradually replaced by autocratic decision-making. Since then dynastic rule was established and the system of shura was greatly changed if not abolished. According to the classical Sunni tradition, the Umayyads altered the very character of the early caliphate and imposed their own political system, restricting shura to their supporters with the exception of 'Umar b. 'Abd al-'Aziz. In addition, some of the learned ('ulama) supported the Umayyads' claim that they reigned by the qadar (divine predestination)⁵⁵ and will of God as His viceregents. This claim implied that the caliphate had been

bestowed on the Umayyads by God and that disobedience to the Caliph and his subordinate officers was a refusal to acknowledge God and so tantamount to unbelief.⁵⁶

This tendency towards emphasizing a complete and unconditional obedience to the caliphs was adopted from this period onwards. Some of the 'ulama even rationalized the religious obligation of absolute obedience to the existing powers by citing sayings attributed to the Prophet. One of them is the Hanafi jurist, Abū Yūsuf (731—798), who was appointed addi of Baghdad in 782 and later became the chief qadi of the caliphate. In his Kitab al-Kharaj dedicated to the Caliph Harun al-Rashid, Abu Yusuf cited several traditions attributed to the Prophet which supported a complete and unconditional obedience to the Caliph, among which are: "Fear God and obey Him; and even if a flat-nosed shrunken-headed Abyssinian slave is invested with power over you, hearken to him and obey him."57 This is, in fact, an off-quoted tradition to show that Islam treats human beings equally and that Islam supports the democratic principle. Abū Yūsuf, however, used it as an argument in defence of blind submission to authority. He quoted another tradition, "He who obeys God obeys me and he who obeys the imam obeys me. He who rebels against me rebels against God and he who rebels against the imam rebels against me."⁵⁸ In support of the opinion that the obligation to obey the imam is not limited to a good imam, he cited a tradition which stated, "When God intends good to a people. He appoints over them governors who are forbearing and puts their properties in the hands of tolerant men; and when He wants to put them to ordeal, He appoints over them stupid governors and entrusts their goods in the hands of avaricious men."59

From the Umayyad period onwards, shura came to indicate the ideal to which a ruler should stick in deciding political matters. This can be seen from the writings of the Qur'an interpreters and the political theorists.

IV. Muslim Scholars' View on Shura in Pre-modern History

The Qur'anic verses relating to shura have been differently interpreted by early and Medieval Muslim scholars. However, unlike their modern counterparts, they only discussed two important issues: the nature and the subjects of shura.

1. The Nature of Shura

Many Muslim scholars of the pre-modern period were of the opinion that shūrā was compulsory and, therefore, it was incumbent upon the rulers to carry it out. Ibn 'Atiyya (d.993), an early Qur'ān interpreter, stated that shūrā was one of the principles of the shari'ah and the basis of rule. Therefore, the ruler who did not consult those who were well-informed and those who had knowledge of religion had to be deposed. According to him, there was no difference of opinion (among the scholars) on this matter. ⁶⁰ The same line of opinion was put forward by Ibn Khuwayzimandad (d.?), asserting that it was incumbent upon the rulers to consult the 'ulamā when the rulers were ignorant of or in doubt about matters of religion, the leaders of the army in

matters related to war, the people in matters concerning their welfare, the secretaries, the ministers, and the governors in matters related to the welfare of the country and its structure.⁶¹ Ibn Kathir stated in his *Tafsir* that some of the *fuqahā'* were of the opinion that shūrā was compulsory by basing their opinion upon two hadiths of the Prophet, namely that the Prophet said to Abū Bakr and 'Alī that if they agreed on a counsel, he would not oppose them. The second hadith is the explanation of the Prophet about the meaning of 'azama in the verse" fa idhā 'azamta fa tawakkal 'alā'l Allāh". He said that 'azama meant "consult knowledgeable people and follow them."⁶²

Al-Mawardi (947—1058), one of the Medieval political theorists, made shura obligatory by mentioning it as one of the ten duties of an amir (ruler or governor). Al-Mawardi asserted that an amir had to consult those who were well-informed in difficult matters, and turn to the people of prudence whenever he was in doubt in order to avoid making mistakes and to be successful.⁶³ Ibn Taymiyya (1263—1328), a Hanbali jurist, theologian, and mujaddid (reformer) also held the opinion that shura was compulsory, saving that the ruler could not dispense with consultation because God had enjoined the Prophet to consult his companions. When a ruler took counsel with his ahl al-shūrā and one of them indicated to him what he had to practice as prescribed by the Book of God (the Qur'an) the Sunnah, or the Ijmā' (the consensus of the community), he had to comply with it. There was no obedience to someone who deviated from that even though he was very well-informed in matters of religion and the affairs of the world.64 Muhammad b. 'Ali Muhammad al-Shawkani (1760—1832), basing his opinion upon the form of the verb "shāwirhum" in the verse "wa shāwirhum fi'l amr (Qur'an 3:159), which is in the imperative, held that shura was obligatory because the imperative indicated obligation. It is, therefore, he contended, incumbent not only upon the Prophet but also upon the rulers after the Prophet's demise to perform shura, because the verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr" was not a command limited to the Prophet".65

Contrary to the first group who asserted that shūrā was compulsory, some scholars stated that shūrā was only mandūb (recommended). This group reasoned that the Prophet consulted his companions because he wanted to conciliate their hearts, not because he needed their opinions. They quoted Muqātil b. Sulaymān (d.767), Qatāda (d. 736) and al-Rabī' b. Anas (d.756) who said that the Arab chiefs felt bad if they were not consulted in the matters. God, therefore, commanded the Prophet to consult them in the matter because this consultation made them sympathize with him, removed their rancor, and conciliated them. To support their view, this group quoted Imām Shāfi'i (767—820) who held that shūrā was recommended based on the Prophet's hadith, namely that the virgin girl was consulted regarding her marriage in order to conciliate her heart, not because it was obligatory to do that. In fact, her father could coerce her into marriage, although it was preferable to consult her. To support their view, this group quoted Imām Shāfi'i (767—820) who held that shūrā was recommended based on the Prophet's hadith, namely that the virgin girl was consulted regarding her marriage in order to conciliate her heart, not because it was obligatory to do that. In fact, her father could coerce her into marriage, although it was preferable to consult her. To support their view, this group quoted Imām Shāfi'i (767—820) who held that shūrā was recommended based on the Prophet's hadith, namely that the virgin girl was consulted regarding her marriage in order to conciliate her heart, not because it was obligatory to do that. In fact, her father could coerce her into marriage, although it was preferable to consult her.

There are two lines of interpretation with regard to the word amr in the 2. The Subjects of Consultation verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr". Some of the Muslim scholars were of the opinion that God commanded His Prophet to consult his companions in matters relating to war in order to win their loyalty and secure their cooperation.68 Zamakhshari (1075—1144) and Ibn Kathir (1301—1373) mentioned this line of opinion, asserting that God commanded His Prophet to consult his companions in matters relating to war and the like where there was no revelation dealing with them. 69 Many 'ulama, according to al-Razi (1149/50-1210), held that the word "amr" in the verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr" referred specially to the matter of war because they were of the opinion that alif and lam in the word "al-amr" did not indicate the whole matter.70

Most of the early Qur'an commentators held that the term amr in the verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr" referred to affairs relating to war not covered by revelation. This is, according to Mutawalli, because most of the issues which the Prophet referred to his companions were concerning matters of

Among the Medieval political theorists, al-Mawardi, following the war.71 opinion of the early. Qur'an commentators, put forward the same opinion, stating that the Prophet was enjoined to consult his companions in matters of war in order to obtain a correct opinion to be implemented.⁷²

The second opinion supports the idea that the term amr in the verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr" includes all matters not covered by revelation. Among the classical Qur'an commentators, Tabari (838-923), al-Razi, and Qurtubi (d.1273) mentioned this kind of interpretation in their Tafsirs. While Tabari and Qurtubi simply asserted that the objects of shura could be in all matters not touched by revelation. 13 al-Razi put forward his opinion with an emphasis on the human aspect of the Prophet. He held that, in spite of the fact that the Prophet was the most perfect man, he had limited knowledge about human worldly affairs. It is not unlikely, al-Razi argued, that people knew things which the Prophet did not. In addition, the Prophet himself said to his companions that "you know better your worldly affairs and I know better your religious affairs." That is why the Prophet is reported to have said that "no people practice shura except that they will be led to the very best in their affairs."74

V. Muslim Scholars' View on Shūrā in Modern Period

It is clear from the previous account that the early and Medieval Muslim scholars did not pay much attention to shura. Most of the discussions on shura were mainly found in the historical reports, in the Tafsırs written by the Qur'an interpreters when they explained the term "shura" encountered in several verses of the Qur'an, and in the writings of several political theorists. But since the 19th century, with the challenge of the West with its concept of democracy to the Islamic world, which was largely under their domination, the Muslim scholars revived the concept of shura with great zeal. Besides discussing the nature and the subjects of shūrā, they discuss new topics,

among them the problem of the participation of the community in shura, the concept of the sovereignty of the people, and the functions of the Legislative Assembly.

1. The Nature of Shurā

Many Muslim scholars in the modern period, like their early and Medieval counterparts, were of the opinion that shura was compulsory and, therefore, it was incumbent upon the rulers to carry it out. Muhammad 'Abduh (1849—1905) expressed this line of opinion, asserting that shūrā was obligatory and it was, therefore, incumbent upon the rulers to consult the well-informed persons in matters related to the welfare of the country and the benefit of human beings. 75 He continued that shura was one of the matters of shari'a, so whoever abandoned it, he abandoned a matter of shari'a. 76 Dr. 'Abd al-Hamid Ismā'il al-Anṣāri, Professor at the Faculty of Law and Islamic. studies at-Qatar University, stated that shura was obligatory. He based his opinion on the form of the verb "shawirhum" in the verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr" (Qur'an 3:159), which is in the imperative. Al-Ansari asserted that, following the main principle (al-qa'idah al-usuliyah) which was laid down concerning amr, shura denoted obligation if it was free from averting evidence (and this is the case of the verse "shawirhum fi'l amr"). 77 He continued that shūrā would lose its meaning if it was deprived of its obligatory character. 78 Dr. Ismāil al-Badawi, Professor of Public Law at al-Azhar University, in his comment on this issue, stated that it was incumbent upon the rulers to practice shura in all matters because it was one of the pillars of Islamic government and one of its fundamental characteristics, especially because God commanded even the Prophet to perform it. 79

In spite of the contention of many of the Muslim scholars that shūrā was compulsory, some of them claimed that shura was not compulsory, but only recommended. This group put forward several reasons to support their contention, 80 namely because 1. the verse "wa amruhum shūrā bainahum" (42:38) was a statement indicating a recommendation. On the other hand, although the verse "wa shawirhum fi'l amr" indicated an obligation, it became recommended because shura was a means of conciliating hearts, as the Prophet said, "The father seeks the permission of the virgin girl regarding her marriage, her silence symbolizes her agreement." It is, however, also permissible that her father coerces her into marriage, although it is preferable that he takes her consent." Similarly, it was permissible to ignore the outcome of shūrā, although it was preferable to follow it, because the Arab chiefs felt bad if they were not consulted in the matters. God, therefore, commanded the Prophet to consult them in the matter because this consultation made them sympathize with him, removed their rancor, and conciliated them. When the Prophet consulted them, they knew that he honored them. 2. the Prophet's saying that "the consultant is entrusted" is not in the imperative mood. If shura were compulsory, the hadith would have been put in obligatory style. 3. the Prophet did not need consultation. To

support this assertion, this group quoted Hasan al-Basri (d. 728) and Dahhak b. Muzāhim (d.723) who said that God commanded the Prophet to consult his companions not because he needed their opinions, but because he wanted to teach them by way of consultation beneficial things, so that his people would practice it after his demise.

One of the few contemporary Muslim scholars who held this opinion is 'Abd al-Ḥamid Mutawalli, a former Professor of Law and Political System at Omdurman al-Islamiyah University in Alexandria. He contended that shūrā was not compulsory because, according to the convention of the scholars of Islamic Jurisprudence, shūrā was an action whose performers God extolled, but He did not criticize those who left it.⁸¹

2. The Subjects of Shura

Most modern Muslim scholars were of the opinion that the term amr in the verse "we shawirhum fi'l amr" includes all matters not covered by revelation. Rashid Rida (1865—1935), in his Tafsir al-Qur'an al-Hakim, expressed this line of opinion, saying that the term amr, in general, meant important policy regarding war and peace, fear and security, and other things concerning the worldly affairs of human beings.82 He continued that the matters to be consulted were important issues of worldly affairs, and not religious matters such as 'aga'id (doctrines), ibadat (worship), halal and haram (lawful and unlawful), and other things, which had been decided by revelation. 83 Sayyid Qutb (1903—1966), an Egyptian scholar, in his support of his opinion that the objects of shura can be in all fields not touched by revelation, based his opinion on the verse 42:38 of the Qur'an (wa amruhum shurā bainahum) rather than verse 3:159 (wa shāwirhum fi'l amr). This is because according to him, the verse "amruhum shūrā bainahum" was revealed by God when the Prophet was still in Mecca, and hence before the Muslim state in Medina was established. He, therefore, contended that shura was applied not only on the political system of the state because it was the principal character of the whole society.84

Muḥammad 'Imārah, Muḥammad Abū Zahrah and Ismā'il al-Badawi are three contemporary Muslim scholars who maintained that the subjects of shūrā were in all fields not covered by revelation. 'Imārah stated that the subjects of shūrā included worldly affairs and those things which did not have clear-cut injunctions in the Qur'ān. ⁸⁵ Abū Zahrah expressed this line of opinion, asserting that al-Qur'ān had made shūrā a general principle for the Muslims when they were confronted with an issue not covered by revelation. ⁸⁶ Al-Badawi, on the other hand, held that the subjects of shūrā were not limited by considering the form of the word "amr". The term amr, he maintained, signified general (matters), and this interpretation, he argued, was in accordance with the practice of the Prophet and the four Caliphs who did not limit the fields of shūrā. In support of his contention, he mentioned several Qur'ān commentators who expressed the same opinion, such as Nāsir al-Dīn al-Shīrazī (d. 1372) who wrote Tafsīr entitled Anwār al-Tanzīl wa

Asrar al-Ta'wil, Abu al-Barakat 'Abd Allah al-Nasafi (d. 1310), the author of Madarik al-Tanzil wa Haqa'iq al-Ta'wil, and Muhammad Jamal al-Din al-Fasi Al-Qāsimī (1866/7-1914) who wrote Mahāsin al-Ta'wil.87

In the modern period, Mutawalli is one of the few Muslim scholars who limited the scope of shura. Contrary to the widely accepted view that shura covers all matters not touched by revelation, he contended that shura could not include all matters despite the fact that there was no revelation dealing with them. He put forward two reasons in support of his view, namely that some of the matters, by the very nature of their cases, were outside of the jurisdiction of the representative body (ahl al-shura), and that the Prophet did not consult his companions in several important matters not covered by revelation. He cited the incident which occurred at the battle of Tabuk as an example. Before the battle took place, some of the hypocrites asked the Propher's permission not to participate in the battle. The Prophet gave them permission in spite of the weakness of their excuses and the absence of several other Muslims. As a result, God sent down a revelation reproving the Prophet (9:43: "God has forgiven you for granting them permission before you know those who are sincere and those who are not"). In his comment on this verse, Mutawalli stated that if the Prophet had consulted his companions, the reproof would have been directed to the opinion of ahl al-shūrā and not to the action of the Prophet.88

3. The Problem of the Participation of the Community in Shurā

It was mentioned in the previous discussion that there was a body of people around the Prophet and the pious Caliphs whom they could consult. In modern times, the Legislative Assembly, that will represent the will of the people, performs the same function. In relation to this, Muhammad Asad, a Pakistani scholar, was of the opinion that "such representative character can be achieved only through free general election."89 Since the method of election, he said, was not laid down in the shari'ah, it was left to the community to decide whether to use direct or indirect, transferable or non-transferable vote, or other kinds of methods. 90 The same opinion was expressed by Professor Fazlur Rahman when he asserted that "... elections may be direct or indirect, depending on prevailing conditions."91 Professor Rahman contended that there were three steps towards the direct participation of the community. Firstly, the masses had to be educated in order that they gained political consciousness. Secondly, after achieving this objective, the community was asked if they wanted to implement shura. This is, acording to Professor Rahman, due to the Islamic injunction that people could not force others to do or receive something (la ikrāha fī al-din). Thirdly, if they said "yes", then shura could be fully implemented and direct or indirect election could be executed. In other words, the government had to be run by

Another opinion is represented by Abū-l-A'la Maudūdi and 'Abd al-Hamid mutawalli. For all that they believed in the necessity of establishing a Legislative Assembly, they expressed different viewpoints with regard to the participation of the entire community in government. Maudūdi was against general elections, asserting that "the shūrā is to be appointed by the head (of state) rather than being elected." This is, according to Professor Rahman, "a clear retrogression from the classical Sunni theory of the state, since according to that theory the shūrā or the people of loosening and binding ...' have to elect a head of state and therefore preexist him." Likewise, Mutawalli opposed general election, claiming that the Islamic concept of shūrā disallowed general elections or the direct participation of the community in the political process. 95

They (Maudūdi and Mutawalli) proposed two premises for disallowing general public participation in electing a government. Firstly, they said, the average man could not come to any correct decision concerning affairs of public life; and secondly, he/she was lacking in the moral faculties necessary for choosing representatives of the right and virtuous conduct while the conduct of states required both to the maximum degree possible. 96 Mutawalli supported his thesis by declaring that nothing could be found in verse 42:38 (wa amruhum shūrā bainahum) which required Muslims to run their affairs through shura which clearly indicated a system of general elections. 97 Against the populist thesis that verse 3:110 (You are the best community (ummatun) brought out for mankind) speaks of the entire community, he, following the traditional interpretation, stated that only certain special groups in the community had access to shūrā.98 This is because, according to him, this verse was addressed to the Prophet and his companions, not to the whole community. In his support of his claim, he cited Ibn 'Abbas' (d. 681) interpretation of this verse found in ibn Kathir's Tafsir, which said that this verse addressed the companions who migrated with the Prophet from Mecca to Medina. Then Mutawalli asserted that the word "ummatun" (community) in this verse (3:110) had the same meaning as the word "ummatun" in the verse "wa'ltakun minkum ummatun yad'una ila 'l-khair ..." (there should be among you a group who calls to good..). 99 He quoted several other verses to show that only a number of people could participate in shura, such as "most people do not understand", "but most of them are ignorant", and "therefore, ask the people of knowledge if you do not know". 100 Although in another place he asserted that the "system of universal franchise may be introduced in a Muslim country that deems it suitable, but that this principle cannot be regarded as universally binding,"101 he proposed two other reasons for rejecting the principle of direct franchise. First, direct franchise might be feasible in a geographically restricted area but it is unpracticable for a global religion (Islam). Secondly, the field of public affairs was left flexible so that necesary adjustments can be made with changes in society. 102

In relation to this issue, it is necessary to put forward Muḥammad al-Ghazali's critique of Mutawalli's contention. Al-Ghazali, a visiting Professor at the university of Omdurman al-Islamiyyah, stated that it was unacceptable to say that the general public was incapable of participating in general election since it contradicted the Qur'ān and the Sunnah. Mutawalli's contention, he argued, that verse 3:110 referred only to the companions of the



could not function as a law-making body, the representatives of the community will serve as the immediate sovereign.

5. The Functions of the Legislative Assembly

Muhammad Rashid Rida, in his book entitled al-Khilafah aw al-Imamat al-Uzma (the Caliphate or the Supreme Imamate), asserted that the people of 'loosening and binding' (ahl al-hall wa'l-'aqd) were the only people qualified to pass judgement on the conduct of the rulers. They elected the Caliph and represented the community. They made law or legislated and it was in consultation with them that decisions of the state acquired a religiously binding force.¹¹¹

Maudūdi, on the other hand, discussed the functions of the legislature (ahl al-hāll wa'l-'aqd) in the light of the Qur'ānic injunctions. Basing his opinion on chapter 33, verse 36,¹¹² and chapter 5, verse 44,¹¹³ he asserted that the legislature of an Islamic State could not legislate in contravention of the directives of God and His Prophet.¹¹⁴ He then stated that in spite of this limitation, the legislature in an Islamic state had a number of functions:

- 1. Where the explicit directives of God and His Prophet are available, although the legislature cannot alter or amend them, yet the legislature alone will be competent to enact them in the form of sections, devise relevant definitions and details and make rules and regulations for the purpose of enforcing them.
- 2. Where the directives of the Qur'an and the Sunnah are capable of more than one interpretation, the legislature would decide which of these interpretations should be placed on the Statute Book.
- 3. Wherever there is no explicit provision in the Qur'ān and the Sunnah, the function of legislature would be to enact laws relating to the same, of course, always keeping in view the general spirit of Islam, and, where previously enacted laws are present in the books of Figh, to adopt any one of them.
- 4. Wherever and in whatever matters even basic guidance is not available from the Qur'ān, or the Sunnah, or the conventions of the Rightous Caliphs, it would be taken to mean that God has left us free to legislate on those points according to our best lights. In such cases, therefore, the legislature can formulate laws without restriction, provided such legislation is not in contravention of the letter and the spirit of the Shari'ah-the principle herein being that whatever has not been disallowed is allowed. 115

Concerning the functions of the Legislative Assembly, Professor Rahman asserted that since "legislation in Islam is the business of the community as a whole (,) it is, therefore, the function of the representatives of the people who sit in the Legislative Assembly to make laws." Then he contended that many 'ulama had been patently wrong in claiming that Islamic legislation was a function that properly belonged to them. The task of the 'ulama was, he claimed, in fact, not to legislate or veto legislation (since no such right existed in Islam), but to constitute religious leadership for the community. What is interesting in his view concerning the consesus (ijma) of the community is his contention that the ideas (ijtihad) created and

formulated by religious leadership would be disseminated and discussed widely in the community through the various media of mass-communication until a general public opinion, i.e., *ijmā'*, has crystallized. This would be embodied in the form of law, which would be perfectly Islamic law, by the representatives of the people. He claimed that the law enacted could be abrogated, amended or replaced by another. This is, of course, due to the fact that non-divine law is not final; what was true yesterday, may not necessarily so today. Therefore, the contention of many Islamic scholars that the gate of *ijtihād* was wide open, was justifiable.

It should be pointed out that the Legislative Assembly holds the legislative power, whereas the executive power belongs to the Head of State. His task is to carry out the law. Also, it is the representatives of the community, who sit in the Legislative Asembly, who elect the Head of state

and not the other way around as some Muslim scholars 119 claim.

As for the question whether the opinion or decision on certain matter arrived at and tendered by the Legislature is binding or not on the holders of the executive power, particularly the Head of state, Muhammad Asad and Hasan Shah asserted that the decisions arrived at by the Legislature through a majority vote were not merely consultative, to be accepted or rejected by the holders of executive power at their discretion, but were legally binding for them. ¹²⁰ On this issue, Maudūdi and Mutawalli again put forward an opposing opinion. They claimed that the opinion of the shūrā (Legislative Assembly) was not binding for the ruler. ¹²¹

VI. Concluding Remarks

It is clear from the account above that shura or mutual consultation enjoined by the Qur'an was, according to the Sunni tradition, carried out by the Prophet and his immediate successors. However, it remained operative only for a short period of time. The implementation of shura began to decline during the reign of the third and fourth caliph due to political upheavals, and with the political triumph of Mu'awiyah, the democratic processes in Islamic polity was abolished. Since then, except perhaps during 'Umar b. 'Abd al-'Aziz's governorship in Medina, shura has been only preserved in theory, not in practice. Also, except during the caliphate of 'Umar, during the era of Prophet and the first four Caliphs, there was no Legislative Assembly (Mailis al-Shūrā) in the modern sense of the word. They consulted the leading companions or the leaders of the community both from the Medinese Ansar and particularly from the Meccans Immigrants on important problems of policy; but neither were the persons thus consulted properly elected by the community for this purpose, nor did the rulers feel themselves bound in every instance to follow the advice tendered to them. They asked for advice, considered it on its merits, and made their decisions in accordance with what they thought was right. Like the consultative body, the consultations practiced by the Prophet and his immediate successors were also informal. except perhaps in the case of consultation performed by the group of six

NOTES

¹Edward W. Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon, 8 Vols. (Beirut: Libararie du Liban, 1968), IV: 1616.

²Ibid., pp. 1616-17.

³Fazlur Rahman, "Principle of Shura and Role of Ummah," Journal of the

University of Baluchistan 1 (?): 7.

⁴Ahmad Hasan, "The Political Role of Ijma'," Islamic Studies 8 (June 1969): 140; Muhammad Nazeer Ka Ka Khel, "The Conceptual and Institutional Development of Shura in Early Islam," Islamic Studies 19 (Winter 1980); 271.

⁵Qur'an, 3:159 "Consult them (O Muhammad!) in the affair; when you have

determined (upon a course), then put your trust in God."

6Qur'an, 42:38 "their communal business (affair) is to be (transacted in)

consultation among themselves.

⁷Fazlur Rahman, "The Islamic Concept of State," in Islam in Transition, ed. J. Donohue and John L. Esposito (New York: Oxford University Press, 1982), p. 263; Idem, "Principle of Shura," p. 7; Idem, Islam and Political Acation: Politics in the Service of Religion," in Cities of God: Faith, Politics and Plurolism in Judaism, Christority and Islam, ed. Nigel Giggar, Jamie S. Scott, and William Schweiker (New York: Greenwood Press, 1986), p. 156; Idem, "Islamic Resurgence and Its Neglected Duty," An Address submitted to a Conference on "Modernization in Islamic Perspective," University of Southern California (February 17, 1987): 16; Souran Mardini, "Fundamental Religio-Political Concepts in the Sources of Islam: The shura in the Islamic Umma," Hamdard Islmicus 9 (Winter 1986): 25; Muhammad Shafiq, "The Role and Place of Shura in the Islamic Polity," Islamic Studies 23 (Winter 1984): 433-34.

⁸Qurtubi, al-Jāmi' li-Ahkām al-Qur'an, 20 Vols. (Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-Misriyya, 1957) IV:249-50.

⁹Muhammad Nazeer Ka Ka Khel, "Political System in Pre-Islamic Arabia," Islamic Studies 20 (Autumn 1981): 383-85; Idem, "The Conceptual," pp. 271-72.

10 Idem, "Political System," p. 384; Idem, "The Conceptual," p. 272.

11 Mardini, "Fundamental," pp. 25-6.

12Ka Ka Khel, "Political System," p. 385; Idem, "Theory and Practice/p. 272.

13 Qur'an, 4:15 14Qur'ān, 4:80-81.

15 Quoted in Ka Ka Khel, "Conceptual," p. 273.

16 Qur'an, 4:83.

17 Hasan, "Political Role," p. 143.

18 Muhammad Imarah, al-Islam wa Falsafat al-Hukm (Beirut: ?, 1979), p. 60.

19 Mahmud b. 'Umar al-Zamakhshari, al-Khashaf'an Haqa'iq al-Tanzil wa 'Uyun al-Aqawil fi Wujuh al-Ta'wil, 4 Vols. (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya, 1947), I, p. 245.

20 Ibn Ishaq, Sirat Rasul Allah, transl. by A. Guillaume (London: Oxford University Press, 1905), p. 297.

²¹Ibid., pp. 371-72.

22 Shahih al-Bukhari, Kitab al-I'tisam, Vol. XXV, p. 91.

23Ka Ka Khel, "Conceptual," p. 276.

24 Shahih al-Bukhari, Kitab al-I'tisam, Vol. XXV, p. 91; Qurtubi, al-Jami', Vol. IV, p. 203; Ibn Ishaq, Sira, pp. 371-72.

25 Qur'an, 3:159. 26 Abd al-Hamid Ismā'il al-Ansari, al-Shūrā bayna al-Ta'thir wa'l- Ta'athur (Cairo: Matabi al-Shuruq, 1982), p. 27; Ibn Kathir, Tafsir, 9 Vols. (Cairo: al-Manar,

1969), II, 277. 27 Ourtubi, al-Jami', Vol. IV, p. 202.

28 Abd al-Hamid Mutawalli, Mabda' al-Shurā fi al-Islām (Cairo: 'Alam al-Kutub, 1972), p. 47.

29I have not been able to identify this companion.

30 Al-Tabari, Tarikh al-Rusul wa'l Muluk, 10 Vols. (Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif, 1970), III, pp. 220-21; Imarah, al-Islam, p. 60.

31 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. III, p. 221; Ibn Ishaq, Sira, p. 686.

32 Ibn Ishaq, Sira, p. 687.

33 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, vol. III, 224; Ibn Ishaq, Sira, p. 687.

34 Montgomery Watt, Islamic Fundamentalism and Modernity (London: Routledge, 1988), p. 89.

35 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. III, p. 219; Imarah, al-Islam, p. 60.

36 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 28; Isma'il al-Badawi, Mabda' al-Shura (Cairo: Dar al-Fikr al-'Arabi, 1981), p. 60' Hasan, "Political Role," p. 142; Ka Ka Khel, "The Conceptual," pp. 277-78.

37 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 25; Badawi, Mabda', p. 52.

38 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 16. 16; Badawi, Mabda', p. 54; Ka Ka Khel, "The Conceptual," p. 278.

39 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 16 n. 12; Ka Ka Khel, "The Conceptual," p. 278.

40 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. III, p. 428.

41 Ibid., p. 480; According to al-Baladhuri, 'Abbas b. 'Abd al-Muttalib and most of the leading companions of the Prophet advised 'Umar to stay behind. 'Ali, however, advised him to go himself. Al-Baladhuri, Futuh al-Buldan (Cairo: al-Maktabah al-Tijariyyah al-Kubra, 1959), p. 255.

42 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. III, pp. 481-82.

43 Ibid., p. 481.

44 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. IV, p. 228; Al-Baladhuri, Ansab al-Ashraf, ed. S.D.F. Gortein (Jerusalem: Hebrew University Press, 1936), Vol. V, p. 18.

45 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. IV, pp. 228-29.

46 Ibid., p. 230; Shahih al-Bukhari, Kitab al-Ahkam, Vol. XXIV, p. 240.

47 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. IV, p. 231.

48 Ibid., pp. 232-34; Baladhuri, Ansab, Vol. V, p. 19; Shahih al-Bukhari, Kitab al-Ahkam, Vol. XXIV, p. 241.

49 Shahih al-Bukhari, Kitab al-I'tisam, Vol. XXIV, p. 92; Badawi, Mabda', p. 60: Hasan, "Political Role." p. 141; Ka Ka Khel, "The Conceptual," p. 279.

50 Badawi, Mabda', p. 14; Muhammad Rashid Rida, Tarikh al-Ustadh al-Imam al-Shaykh Muhammad 'Abduh, 3 Vols. (Cairo: al-Manar, 1906), II, pp. 209-10. 209-10.

51 Muhammad b. Abd. Allah al-'Arabi, al-Awasim min al-Qawasim fi Tahqiq

al-Saḥābah ba'da Wafat al-Nabī (Cairo: Salafiyya, 1976), p. 216.

⁵²Ibid., pp. 217 & 221. Ibn al-Zubayr proposed to Mu'awiyah three methods of electing caliph: 1. He (Mu'awiyah) could do what the Prophet did, i.e., he did not appoint his successor until he passed away, or 2. He could do what Abū Bakr did, i.e., he chose a man from the Quraish, who was not from his clan, to be caliph, or 3. He could do what 'Umar did, i.e., he could constitute majlis al-shūrā which consisted of six persons from the Quraish, none of them was the son of his father. Mu'awiyah, however, rejected Ibn Zubayr's proposal. Ibid., pp. 220-21.

53They are the following: 'Ubayd Allah b. 'Abd Allah b. 'Utbah, Abu Bakr b. 'Abd al-Rahman, Abu Bakr b. Sulayman b. Abu Hathamah, Sulayman b. Yasar, al-Qasim b. Muhammad, Salim b. 'Abd Allah b. 'Umar, 'Abd Allah b. 'Umar, 'Abd Allah b. 'Amir b. Rabi'a, and Kharijah b. Zayd. Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. VI, p. 427,

Imarah, al-Islam, p. 70.

54 Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. VI, pp. 427-28; Imarah, al-Islam, p. 70.

Marwan, the fifth Caliph of the Umayyads who reigned from April 685 until October 705. This treatise appears to have been written in part as a defence against those who attacked his doctrine of qadar as an innovation, and in part as a protest against the corruption and tyranny of officials who justified their acts by appealing to qadar. In this treatise Hasan accused them of using qadar as an excuse for their sinful appetites and treacherous iniquities. He contended that so far as man's conduct was concerned, qadar did not extend beyond the metaphysical realm of God's administration of the affairs of man and denied the incompatibility of the qadar of God with the moral and religious freedom of man. He stated that violence and tyranny were not of the decree of God: God did not order abominations. Guidance, he said, came from God, but error was man's own doing. Julian Obermann, "Political Theology in Early Islam: Hasan al-Basri's Treatise on Qadar," Journal of the American Oriental Society 55 (1935), pp. 138-62.

56 Ann K.S. Lambton, State and Government in Medieval Islam (New York: Oxford University Press, 1981), p. 46; W. Montgomery Watt, "God's Caliph: Qur'anic Interpretations and Umayyad's Claims,: in Iran and Islam, ed. Clifford E.

Bosworth (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1971), pp. 570-71.

⁵⁷Lambton, State and Government, p. 57; Qamar-ud-in Khan, al-Mawardi's Theory of the State (Lahore: Maazm-i-Iqbal, ?), pp. 11-2.

58 Lambton, State, p. 57.

⁵⁹Qamar-ud-in Khan, al-Mawardi, pp. 11-2.

60 Qurtubi, al-Jami', p. 249.

61 Ibid., p. 250.

62Ibn Kathir, Tafsir, Vol. II, p. 277.

63'Ali Ibn Muhammad Habib al-Başri al-Mawardi, al-Ahkam al-Sultaniyyah al-Wilayah al-Diniyah (Cairo: Dar al-Fikr, 1983), p. 40.

64Taqi al-Din Ahmad ibn Taymiyya, al-Siyasah al-Shar'iyyah (Cairo: Dar

al-Matba'ah al-Salafiyah, 1399), p. 80.

65 Quoted in Badawi, Mabda', p. 23.

66Qurtubi, al-Jāmi', Vol. IV, p. 250; Ibn Kathir, Tafsir, Vol. II, pp. 276-77.

67 Quoted in Fakhr al-Din Muhammad b. 'Umar al-Razi, al-Tafsir al-Kabir, 32 Vols. (Cairo: al-Bahiyya al-Misriyyah, 1928), XXVII, p. 67; al-Qurtubi, al-Jāmi',

Vol. IV, p. 250. 68 Abu Ja'far Muhammad ibn Jarir al-Tabari, Jami' al-Bayan 'an Ta'wil Ay al-Qur'an, 30 Vols. (Cairo: Mustafa al-Babi al-Halibi, 1954-68), III, p. 102; Qurtubi,

al-Jami'. Vol. IV. p. 250; Ibn Kathir, Tafsir, Vol. II, p. 276. 69 Al-Zamakhshari, al-Kashshaf, Vol. I, p. 432; Ibn Kathir, Tafsir, Vol. II, p.

276. 70 Al-Razi, al-Tafsir al-Kabir, Vol. IX, p. 67.

71 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 20.

72 Al-Mawardi, al-Ahkam al-Sultaniyah, p. 40.

73 See Tabari, al-Jami', Vol. III, p. 152; Qurtubi, al-Jami', Vol. IV, p. 250.

74 Al-Razi, al-Tafsir al-Kabir, Vol. IX, p. 66.

75 Rashid Rida, Tarikh al-Ustadh, Vol. II, p. 208.

76 Ibid.

77 Al-Ansari, al-Shura, p. 13.

78 Ibid., pp. 13-4.

79 Badawi, Mabda', p. 28.

80 Ibid., pp. 22-3.

81 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 11.

82 Muhammad Rashid Ridā, Tafsir al-Qur'an al-Hakim, 12 Vols. (Cairo: al-Manar, 1906-35), III, p. 199.

83 Ibid., p. 200.

84 Sayyid Qutb, Tafsir Sura al-Shura (Beirut: Dar al-Arabiyya, 1963), p. 71; Idem, Fi Zilāl al-Qur'ān, 29 Vols. (Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya, 1953), XXV, p. 47.

85 Imarah, al-Islam, p. 59.

86 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 19; Badawi, Mabda', p. 50, n. 2.

87 Badawi, Mabda', pp. 50-51.

88 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 20; Badawi, Mabda', p. 48.

89 Muhammad Asad, The Principles of the State and Government in Islam (Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1961), p. 45; Nasim Hasan Shah expressed the same line of opinion, asserting that a truly representative of the entire community could be achieved only through free and general elections. Therefore, he said, the members of the Assembly had to be elected by means of the widest possible suffrage. Nasim Hasan Shah, "Islamic Concept," p. 46.

90 Asad, Principles, p. 146.

91Rahman, "The Islamic Concept," p. 263.

92Fazlur Rahman's lecture on "Introduction to Islamic Political Thought" on December 2, 1985, University of Chicago.

```
93Fazlur Rahman, "A Recent Controvercy over the Interpretation of Shura,"
 History of Religions 20 (May 1981), p. 293.
       94 Ibid.
       95 Mutawalli, Mabda', pp. 29-30.
       96 Ibid.
       97 Mutawalli, Mabda', p. 29.
       98 Ibid., p. 30.
      99 Ibid.
      100Ibid., p. 32.
      101 Ibid., pp. 34-5.
      102 Ibid.
      103 Ibid., pp. 48-9.
      104Qur'an, 12;40.
      105 Our'an, 3:154.
      106 Maudūdi, First Principles, pp. 16-25; Idem, "Political Theory of Islam,"
in Islam in Transition, ed. J. Donohue and John L. Esposito (New York: Oxford
University Press, 1982), p. 253; Idem, The Islamic Law and Constitution, trans.
and ed. Kurshid Ahmad (Lahore: Islamic Publication Ltd., 1960), pp. 177-78.
      10/Rahman, "A Recent Controvercy," p. 297.
      108 Ibid.
      109 Rahman, "The Islamic Concept," p. 264.
      110 Hasan, "Political Role," p. 136; Ka Ka Khel, "Legitimacy," p.168.
      111 Quoted in Hamid Enayat, Modern Islamic Political Thought (Austin:
University of Texas Press, 1982), pp. 72-81.
     112 This verse reads "It is not for a believing man or believing woman to have a
```

say in any affair when it has been decided by Allah and His Messenger; and whoever disobeys Allah and His messenger, he goes astray manifestly."

113 This verse reads "And those who do not make their decisions in accordance with that revealed by Allah, are (in fact) the disbelievers."

114 Maudūdi, First Principles, p. 29.

115 Ibid., pp. 30-1.

116Rahman, "The Islamic Concept," p. 262.

117 Ibid.

118 Ibid., p. 263.

119 Among them are Maududi and Hamoodur Rahman. See Hamoodur Rahman, Islamic Concept of State (Karachi: The Times Press, 1978), p. 15.

120 Asad, Principles of State, p. 52; Hasan Shah, "Islamic Concept," p. 47. 121 Rahman, "A Recent Controvercy," p. 293; Mutawalli, Mabda', pp. 14-7.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Al-Ansari, 'Abd al-Hamid Isma'il, Al-Shura bayna al-Ta'thir wa-l-Ta'athur. Cairo: Dar al-Shuruq, 1982.
- Al-Badawi, Ismail. Mabda' al-Shurā. (Cairo: Dar al-Fikr al-'Arabi, 1981.
- Al-Baladhuri, Ahmad b. Yahya. Ansab al-Ashraf, Vol. V. Edited by S. D. F. Gortein. Jerusalem: Hebrew University Press, 1936.
 - Futuh al-Buldan. Cairo: al-Maktabah al-Tijariyyah al-Kubra, 1959.
- Al-Muwardi, 'Ali b. Muhammad Habib al-Basri. Al-Ahkam al-Ahkam al-Sultaniyyah al-Wilayah al-Diniyah. Cairo: Dar al-Fikr, 1983.
- Al-Qurtubi, Muḥammad b. Ahmad. Al-Jāmi' li-Ahkām al-Qur'ān, Vol. IV and VI. Cairo: Dār al-Kutub al-Misriyyah, 1957.
- Al-Razi, Fakhr al-Din Muhammad ibn 'Umar. Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, Vol. IX. Cairo: al-Bahiyah al-Misriyyah, 1934-62.
- Al-Tabari, Abū Ja'far Muḥammad ibn Jarir. Jāmi' al-Bayān 'an Ta'wil Ay al-Qur'ān, Vol. 3. Cairo: Mustafā al-Bābi al-Halabi, 1954-68.
- Tarikh al-Rusul wa'l Muluk, Vol. III, IV, and VI, Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif, 1962, 1970, & 1971.
- Al-Zamakhshari, Maḥmūd ibn 'Umar. Al-Kashshāf 'an Haqāiq al-Tanzil wa 'Uyūn al-Aqāwil fi Wujūh al-Ta'wil, Vol. 1 and IV. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya, 1947.
- Asad, Muhammad, The Principles of the State and Government in Islam. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1961.
- Brohi, K. Allahbukhsh. "Islam: Its Political and Legal Principles." Islam and Contemporary Society, edited by Salem Azzam. London: Butler and Tanner Ltd., 1982
- Enayat, Hamid. Modern Islamic Political Thought. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1982.
- Hasan, Ahmad. "The Political Role of Ijma'." Islamic Studies 8 (Autumn 1969): 135-50.
- Ibn al-'Arabi, Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah. Al-'Awasim min al-Qawasim fi Tahqiq Mawaqif al-Shahabah ba'da Wafat al-Nabi. Cairo: al-Matba'a Salafiyya, 1976.
- Ibn Ishaq. Sirat Rasul Allah. Transl. by A. Guillaume. London: Oxford University Press, 1905.
- Ibn Kathir, Isma'il b. 'Umar. Tafsir, Vol. II and Vol. VII. Cairo: Al-Manar, 1924/5-28/9.
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqi al-Din Ahmad. Al-Siyasah as-Shar'iyya. Cairo: Dar al-Matba' al-Salafiyah, 1399.
- Imarah, Muhammad. Al-Islam wa Falsafat al -Hukm. Beirut: ?, 1979.
- Ka Ka Khel, Muhammad Nazeer, "The Conceptual and Institutional Development of Shura in Early Islam." Islamic Studies 19 (Autumn 1980): 271-82.

- ;"'Legitimacy of Authority in Islam." Islamic Studies 19 (Autumn 1980):
- ———, "Political System in Pre-Islamic Arabia." Islamic Studies 20 (Autumn 1981): 383-85.
- , "Succession to Rule in Early Islam." Islamic Studies 24 (Spring 1985): 13-28.
- Lambton, Ann K. S. State and Government in Medieval Islam. New York: Oxford University Press, 1981.
 - , Islamic Political Thought." In *The Legacy of Islam*, 2nd ed., edited by Joseph Schacht and Clifford E. Boswoth. London: Oxford University Press, 1974.
- Lewis, Bernard. "On the Quetist and Activist Traditions in Islamic Political Writing."

 Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 49 (June 1971): 141-47.
- Manzooruddin, Ahmed. "Key Political Concepts in the Qur'an." Islamic Studies 10 (June 1971): 77-102.
- Mardini, Souran. "Fundamental Religio-Political Concepts in the Sources of Islam: The Shura in the Islamic Umma." *Hamdard Islamicus 9* (Winter 1986): 25-35.
- Maududi, Abul A'la. First Principles of the Islamic State. Translated and edited by Khurshid Ahmad. Lahore: Islamic Publications Ltd., 1974.
- ——, The Islamic Law and Constitution. Translated and edited by Khurshid Ahmad. Lahore: Islamic Publication Ltd., 1960.
- , "Political Theory of Islam." In *Islam in Transition*, edited by J. Donohue and John L. Esposito. New York: Oxford University Press, 1960.
- Mutawalli, Abd al-Hamid. Mabda' al-Shurā fi al-Islām. Cairo: 'Alam al-Kutub, 1972.
- Obermann, Julian. "Political Theology in Early Islam: Hasan al-Basri's Treatise on Qadar." Journal of the American Oriental Society 55 (1935): 138-62.
- Qutb, Sayyid. Tafsir surat al-Shura. Beirut: Dar al-'Arabiyyah, 1963.
 - , Fi Zilal al-Qur'an, Vol. IV and XXV. Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-'Arabiyyah,
- Rahman, Fazlur, "A Recent Controvercy over the Interpretation of Shura." History of Religion 20 (May 1981): 291-301.
- ———, "The Islamic Concept of State." In *Islam in Transition*, edited by J. Donohue and John L. Esposito. New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- ———, "Principle of Shura and Role of Ummah." Journal of the University of Baluchistan 1 (?):1-15.
- ———, "Islam and Political Action: Politics in the Service of Religion." In Cities of God: Faith, Politics and Pluralism in Judaism, Christianity and Islam, edited by Nigel Biggar, Jamie S. Scott, and William Schweiker, New York: Greenwood Press, 1986.
- Rahman, Fazlur, "Islamic Resurgence and Its 'Neglected Duty'." An Address submitted to a Conference on Modernization in Islamic Perspective." University of Southern California (February 17, 1987): 1-26.
- Rahman, Hamoodur. Islamic Concept of State. Karachi: The Time Press, 1978.
- Ridā, Muhammad Rashid, Tarfir al-Qur'ān al-Hakim, Vol. IIIand IV. Cairo: Al-Manār, 1906-35.

- _____, Tarikh al-Ustadh al-Imam al-Shaykh Muhammad 'Abduh, Vol. II. Cairo: al-Manar, 1906.
- Rosenthal, Erwin I. J. Political Thought in Medieval Islam. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1962.
- Shafiq, Muhammad. "Basic Principles of the Islamic Government. Islamic Studies 22 (Spring 1983): 212-40.
 - "The Role and Place of Shura in the Islamic Polity." Islamic Studies 23 (Winter 1984): 419-41.
- Shah, Nasim Hasan. "Islamic Concept of State." Handard Islamicus 10 (Autumn 1987): 33-56.
- Watt, Montgomery W. "God's Caliph: Qur'anic Interpretations and Umayyad Claims." In *Iran and Islam*, edited by Clifford E. Bosworth. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1971