THE EFFICIENCY OF ISLAMIC BANKS IN INDONESIA SINCE 2012 TO 2016 AND INFLUENCE TO ITS MARKET SHARE USING PRICE AND PROMOTION AS MODERATING VARIABLES



FINAL PROJECT

SUBMITTED TO FACULTY OF ISLAMIC ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA YOGYAKARTA AS PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ECONOMICS

> Author: <u>Farah Saufika Pramudita</u> St. N: 14820045

ISLAMIC BANKING DEPARTMENT FACULTY OF ISLAMIC ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA YOGYAKARTA 2018

THE EFFICIENCY OF ISLAMIC BANKS IN INDONESIA SINCE 2012 TO 2016 AND INFLUENCE TO ITS MARKET SHARE USING PRICE AND PROMOTION AS MODERATING VARIABLES



FINAL PROJECT

SUBMITTED TO FACULTY OF ISLAMIC ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA YOGYAKARTA AS PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ECONOMICS

Author:

<u>Farah Saufika Pramudita</u> St. N: 14820045

Advisor:

<u>Dr. Misnen Ardiansyah, S.E., M.Si.</u> NIP. 19710929 200003 001

ISLAMIC BANKING DEPARTMENT FACULTY OF ISLAMIC ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA YOGYAKARTA 2018

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research is to determine the relationship between efficiency and market share, with price and promotion, as parts of marketing strategy by Philip Kotler, being its moderating variables. The method in this research is quantitative research using DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) and regression analysis. The sample contains of twelve Sharia Commercial Banks which own a legal business permit in the observed years and have a complete required data of input and output variables. The finding suggests that, in year of 2012 to 2016, the efficiency of Islamic banks have a positive statistically significant relationship toward market share. It also results that both price and promotion variables support to be quasi moderator and showing output of statistically significant relationship toward market share. According to the finding, it can be concluded that Islamic banks can improve their market share by increasing their efficiency, price, and promotion simultaneously, but that is not the only element. There are many other considerations to increase the market share of Islamic banks in Indonesia.

Keywords: Islamic banking, Data Envelopment Analysis, DEA, Efficiency, Market Share, Regression, Price, Promotion, Moderator Variable.

STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA Y O G Y A K A R T A



State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga

FM-UINSK-BM-05-03/RO

APPROVAL

Subject

: Final Project by Farah Saufika Pramudita

To

The Respectable Dean of Islamic Economics and Business Faculty State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga in Yogyakarta

Assalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.

After going through proofreading, examining, giving direction and correcting, also making necessary improvements, thus I, as an Advisor, reckon that this final project by following student:

Name	: Farah Saufika Pramudita
Student Number	: 14820045
Project's Title	: "The Efficiency of Islamic Banks in Indonesia since 2012 to 2016 and Influence to its Market Share using Price and
	Promotion as Moderating Variables."

has deserved to be submitted to the Faculty of Islamic Economics and Business, Islamic Banking Department, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of bachelor of economy.

With this, we expect for this final project to be trialed shortly. Thank you for your consideration.

Wassalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.

Yogyakarta, February 21st 2018

Addisor.

Dr. Misnen Ardiansyah, S.E., M.Si. NIP. 19710929 200003 001



State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga

FM-UINSK-BM-05-03/RO

FINAL PROJECT VALIDATION Number: B-924/Un.02/DEB/PP.05.03/03/2018

Final project with the title of:

The Efficiency of Islamic Banks in Indonesia since 2012 to 2016 and Influence to its Market Share with Price and Promotion as Moderating Variables

Has written and arranged by:

Name	: Farah Saufika Pramudita
Student Number	: 14820045
Trialed on	: Tuesday, February 27th 2018
Score	: A

Has been approved and accepted by Faculty of Islamic Economics and Business, State Islamic University of Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta.

THE BOARD O	F EXAMINERS:
Chairman	of Board,
1.	\
Dr. Misnen Ardia	insyah, S.E., M.Si
NIP: 19710929	9 200003 1 001
Examiner I	Examiner II
1.61.	11 01
Y. Mars	Share!
Dr. Ibnu Qizam, S.E., Akt., M.Si	Hanny
	Abdul Oovum, S.E.I., M.Sc.Fin
NIP. 19680102 199403 1 002	NIP: 19850630 201503 1 007
Yogyakarta, Ma	rch 5 th 2018
Dean	of A D T A
Faculty of Islamic Econ	omics and Business
State Islamic University	
	1 100
Mul	
1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1	/

Dr. H. Syafiq Mahmadah Hanafi, M.Ag. NIP. 19670518 199703 1 003

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned below:

Name	: Farah Saufika Pramudita
Student Number	: 14820045
Department	: Islamic Banking
Faculty	: Islamic Economics and Business

Declared that this final project by the title of "The Efficiency of Islamic Banks in Indonesia since 2012 to 2016 and Influence to its Market Share using Price and Promotion as Moderating Variables" contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. And to the best of author's knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of final project.

I hereby conclude my declaration with utmost sincerity.

Yogyakarta, February 21st 2018

The Autho 306549848

<u>Farah Saufika Pramudita</u> St. N: 14820045

APPROVAL OF FINAL PROJECT PUBLICATION FOR ACADEMIC PURPOSE

As an academic society of State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, I, undersigned below:

Name	: Farah Saufika Pramudita
St. N.	: 14820045
Department	: Islamic Banking
Faculty	: Islamic Economics and Business
Type of Essay	: Final Project

for development of academic purpose, has agreed to grant the Non-Exclusive Royalty-Free Right for State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta on my working essay by the title of:

"The Efficiency of Islamic Banks in Indonesia since 2012 to 2016 and Influence to its Market Share using Price and Promotion as Moderating Variables"

along with the existing devices (if necessary). By the Non-Exclusive Royalty-Free Right, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta has the right to archive, transferring media, formatting, supervising, in the form of database, managing and publishing author's final project as long as it still includes author's name as the creator and the owner of copyright.

Thereby, this statement is made for the most understanding.

Yogyakarta, February 21st 2018

The Author, Farah Saufika Pramudita St. N: 14820045

QUOTE

"Our posturing, our imagined self-importance, the delusion that we have some privileged position in the Universe, are challenged by this point of pale light. Our planet is no more than a lonely speck in the great enveloping cosmic dark, within our obscurity, within all the vastness. There is perhaps no better demonstration of the folly of human conceits than this distant image of our tiny world. It underscores our responsibility to deal kindly with one another, to preserve and cherish the pale blue dot, the only home we've ever known."

— Carl Sagan; in Pale Blue Dot (1994) —

STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA Y O G Y A K A R T A

DEDICATION PAGE



THIS FINAL PROJECT is dedicated to the Almighty Allah SWT. and The Holy Prophet Muhammad SAW.

To my all-time heroes, my greatest motivator—my dear father and mother; Ali Maskur Masduqi and Salamah. There is not a single day that goes where I don't dedicate my perseverance for you.

To my biggest role model, my inspiration main ingredient, the people I look up so much in my whole life—my big brother and my sister; Muhammad Fahrurrozy Ardiansyah and Rina Arum Setyawati. My deepest gratitude will never define how much I appreciate your beings.

To my little brother who never fails to make me so proud; Muhammad Abigail Satria Pamungkas. And my late little sister; Karina Intan Rahayu, forever you will be missed.

TRANSLITERATION

Letters of the Alphabet

Initial	Medial	Final	Alone	Romanization
1	ι	ι	١	omit (see Note 1)
÷	÷	ب	ب	b
ڌ	ڌ	ت	ت	t
ڎ	ڈ	ث	ث	th
÷	÷	ج	ح	j
ح	ح		ت خ	ķ
ż	<u>خ</u>	で さ	Ż	kh
د	د			d
ć	ć	i i	ذ ا	dh
ر	J	J	5	r
ر ز	ز	ر ز	ر ز	Z
ىىد ىثد	لند	س	س	S
	ىتد	ش	ش	sh
صد	صد	ص	ص	Ş
ضد	ضد	ض	ض	ļ
ط	ط	Ъ	Ь	ţ
ظ	ظ	ظ	ظ	Ż
2	۶	ĉ	ط ظ ع ق ل	' (ayn)
ż	ź	ڂ	غ	gh
ف	ė	ف	ف	f (see Note 2)
ĕ	ĕ	ع ف ق ك	ق	q (see Note 2)
2	2	ک	اک	k
Γ	L	J	ل	1
م	STATE	ISI	CUNIVE	
ذ	JIAIL			n
ھ	\$	٩،٦	0 6 0	h (see Note 3)
و		و	را _ و	W
ڌ	VO	ي	^ي	-y
Vowe	ls and Diphthong	S		

Ó	А	lô	ā (see Rule 5)	ى	ī
்	U	َ ى	á (see Rule 6(a))	َ و	aw
Q	Ι	ُ و	Ū	َ ىْ	ay

Letters Representing Non-Arabic Consonants

This list is not exhaustive. It should be noted that a letter in this group may have more than one phonetic value, depending on the country or area where it is used, and that the romanization will vary accordingly.

گ	G	\$	Ch	ڤ	v
ڴ	Ñ	ş	Zh	ۊ۫	v
پ	Р	ۯ	Zh	ڥ	v

Notes

- 1. For the use of *alif* to support *hamzah*, see rule 2. For the romanization of *hamzah* by the consonantal sign ' (alif), see rule 8(a). For other orthographic uses of *alif* see rules 3-5.
- 2. The *Maghribī* variations \rightarrow and \dot{o} are romanized f and q respectively.
- 3. in a word in the construct state is romanized t. See rule 7(b).

RULES OF APPLICATION

Arabic Letters Romanized in Different Ways Depending on Their Context

- 1. As indicated in the table, و may represent:
 - (a) The consonants romanized *w* and *y*, respectively.

(4) 110 00100		
	wad'	وضع
	ʻiwad	وضع عوض
	dalw	دلو
	yad	يد حيل طهي
	ḥiyal	حيل
	ţahy	طهى
(b) The long	vowels romanized \bar{u} , \bar{i} , and \bar{a} r	
	ūlá	الم ال
	şūrah	v صورة
	dhū SLAMIC UNI	ذو
	īmān	
		إيمان جيل
	fī	فى
	kitāb — — — —	کتاب [ّ]
	saḥāb	في كتاب سحاب جمان
	jumān	جمان
(c) The dipht	hongs romanized aw and ay, re	
-	awj	أوج
	nawm	نوم
	law	لو
	aysar	او ج نوم لیسر شیخ عینی
	shaykh	شيخ
	'aynay	عينى
	5 5	~ ·

- 2. romanization. See rule 8(a).
- (alif) when used to support waslah (1) and maddah (1) is not represented in 3. romanization. See rules 9 and 10.
- 4. (alif) and (alif) and (alif) when used as orthographic signs without phonetic significance are not represented in romanization.

faʻalū		فعلوا
ulā'ika		أولائك
ūqīyah		أوقية

(alif) is used to represent the long vowel romanized \bar{a} , as indicated in the table. 5.

Ia 11	فاعل
riḍā	رضا

This *alif*, when medial, is sometimes omitted in Arabic; it is always indicated in romanization. See rule 19.

- 6. Final ω appears in the following special cases:
 - (a) As $\dot{\omega}$ (alif magsūrah) used in place of $\dot{\omega}$ to represent the long vowel romanized *ā*.

<u>hattá</u>	حتًى
maḍá	مضتى
kubrá	کبرَی
Yaḥyá	يحيَى
musammá	مسمَّى
Mușțafá	مصطفى

(b) As \Im in nouns and adjectives of the form $f\bar{a}$ $\bar{i}l$ which are derived from defective roots. This ending is romanized \bar{i} , not $\bar{i}y$, without regard to the presence of \circ (*shaddah*). See rule 11(b)(2). رضى الدين

Radī al-Dīn

Compare the fa 'īl form of the same root الرضى [without shaddah] al-Radī.

(c) As \Im in the relative adjective (*nisbah*). The ending, like (b) above, is romanized \bar{i} , not $\bar{i}y$.

al-Misrī

```
المصر يَ
Compare المصريّة al-Mişrīyah and see rule 11(b)(1).
```

ة (tā' marbūtah) 7.

(a) When the noun or adjective ending in 5 is indefinite, or is preceded by the definite article, $\mathbf{\hat{s}}$ is romanized h. The $\mathbf{\hat{s}}$ in such positions is often replaced by $\mathbf{\hat{s}}$.

salāh	_ صلاة
al-Risālah al-bahīyah	الرسالة البهية
mir'āh	مرآة
Urjūzah fī al-ṭibb	أرجوزة في الطب
1 1	F

(b) When the word ending in $\dot{\circ}$ is in the construct state [mudaf wa-mudaf ilayh], $\dot{\circ}$ is romanized t.

Wizārat al-Tarbiyah	وزارة التربية
Mir'āt al-zamān	مر أة الزمان

(c) When the word ending in š is used adverbially, š (vocalized š) is romanized *tan*. See rule 12(b).

<u>Romanization of Arabic Orthographic Symbols Other than Letters and Vowel</u> <u>Signs</u>

The signs listed below are frequently omitted from unvocalized Arabic writing and printing; their presence or absence must then be inferred. They are represented in romanization according to the following rules:

8. *♀* (*hamzah*)

10.

(a) In initial position, whether at the beginning of a word, following a prefixed preposition or conjunction, or following the definite article, *ϵ* is not represented in romanization. When medial or final, *ϵ* is romanized as ' (alif).

asad	أسد
uns	أنس
idhā	إذا
mas'alah	مسألة
mu'tamar	مؤتمر
dā'im	دائم ملأ
mala'a	ملأ
khați'a	خطئ

- (b) *•*, when replaced by the sign □ (*waslah*) and then known as *hamzat al-wasl*, is not represented in romanization. See rule 9 below.
- 9. \Box (*waşlah*), like initial ϵ , is not represented in romanization. See also rule 8(b) above. When the *alif* which supports *waşlah* belongs to the article J, the initial vowel of the article is romanized *a*. See rule 17(b). In other words, beginning with *hamzat al-waşl*, the initial vowel is romanized *i*.

with weak, at ways, the mithar to wer is formalized	
Riḥlat Ibn Jubayr	رحلة آين جبير الإستدراك
al-istidrāk	
kutub iqtanat'hā	كتب ٱقتنتها
bi-ihtimām 'Abd al-Majīd	باهتمام عبد ألمجيد
~ (maddah)	
(a) Initial \tilde{i} is romanized \bar{a} .	
ālah	آلة
Kullīyat al-Ādāb	كلية الآداب
(b) Medial \tilde{I} , when it represents the phonetic combi	
ta'ālīf	تأليف مآثر
ma'āthir	مآثر
(c) \sim is otherwise not represented in romanization.	
khulafā'	خلفآء

ँ (*shaddah* or *tashdīd*) 11.

(a) Over :

(1) أرز, representing the combination of long vowel plus consonant, is romanized $\bar{u}w$.

عدُوّ قُوّة

'adūw qūwah See also rule 1(b).

(2) j, representing the combination of diphthong plus consonant, is romanized aww.

Shawwāl	شَوّال
şawwara	صَوّر
jaww	جوّ
See also rule 1(c).	

ی Over (b) Over

(1) Medial عن , representing the combination of long vowel plus consonant, is romanized $\bar{i}y$. المصريّة

al-Mişrīyah

See also rule 1(b).

- (2) Final \Im is romanized \overline{i} . See rules 6(b) and 6(c).
- (3) Medial and final أيّ , representing the combination of diphthong plus consonant, is romanized ayy.

ayyām	
sayyid	
Quşayy	
See also rule 1(c).	



(c) Over other letters, is represented in romanization by doubling the letter or digraph concerned.

al-Ghazzī	الغزّيّ
al-Kashshāf	الكثنّاف

- *Tanwin* may take the written form \circ , \circ (\circ), or \circ , romanized *un*, *an*, and *in*, 12. respectively. Tanwin is normally disregarded in romanization, however. It is indicated in the following cases:
 - (a) When it occurs in indefinite nouns derived from defective roots.

اض	ē
يعنيًّ	
adverbial use of a nour	n or adjective.
طبعًا	
فجأةً	1
rik waḍʻan خنعاً	المشترك وم
ىىقعا tariq şuq'an	والمفترق م
	عنیؓ adverbial use of a nour طبعًا فجاةً ضعاً rik waḍʿan

Grammatical Structure as It Affects Romanization

13.	Final inflections of verbs are retained in romanization, except in pause. representnan waliya Miṣrمن ولي مصرna vifat mā yajibu la-humمعرفة ما يجب لهمşallá Allāh 'alayhi wa-sallamمالى الله عليه وسلمal-Lu'lu' al-maknūn fī ḥukmal-ikhbār 'ammā sa-yakūn
14.	 Final inflections of nouns and adjectives: (a) Vocalic endings are not represented in romanization, except preceding pronominal suffixes, and except when the text being romanized is in verse. uşūluhā al-nafsīyah wa-ţuruq المولية وطرق تدريسها النفسية وطرق تدريسها ilá yawminā hādhā (b) <i>Tanwīn</i> is not represented in romanization, except as specified in rule 12. (c) š (tā' marbūţah) is romanized h or t as specified in rule 7. (d) For the romanization of the relative adjective (nisbah) see rule 6(c).
15.	Pronouns, pronominal suffixes, and demonstratives: (a) Vocalic endings are retained in romanization. anā wa-anta lile litz hādhihi al-ḥāl wa'allafātuhu wa-shurūḥuhā nu'allafātuhu wa-shurūḥuhā oftentise of a phrase or sentence, the ending is romanized in its pausal form. hayātuhu wa-'aṣruh Tawfīq al-Ḥakīm, afkāruh, athāruh
16.	Prepositions and conjunctions: (a) Final vowels of separable prepositions and conjunctions are retained in romanization. anna أن anna أن annahu أن bayna yadayhi بين يديه Note the special cases: mimmā, wamā, wadayhi (b) Inseparable prepositions, conjunctions, and other prefixes are connected with what follows by a hyphen. bi-hi إبه wa-maʿahu إمار iā-silkī يرسلكي
17.	The definite article: (a) The romanized form <i>al</i> is connected with the following word by a hyphen. al-kitāb al-thānī الإتحاد al-ittiḥād

al-așl	الأصل
al-āthār	الآثار

(b) When U is initial in the word, and when it follows an inseparable preposition or conjunction, it is always romanized *al* regardless of whether the preceding word, as romanized, ends in a vowel or a consonant.

ilá al-ān

Abū al-Wafā' Maktabat al-Nahḍah al-Miṣrīyah bi-al-tamām wa-al-kamāl الى الأن ابو الوفاء مكتبة النهضية المصرية بالتمام و الكمال

Note the exceptional treatment of the preposition ل followed by the article: الشربيني

See also rule 23.

(c) The J of the article is always romanized l, whether it is followed by a "sun letter" or not, i.e., regardless of whether or not it is assimilated in pronunciation to the initial consonant of the word to which it is attached.

al-ḥurūf al-abjadīyah Abū al-Layth al-Samarqandī الحروف الأبجدية العروف الأبجدية ابو الليث السمرقندي

Orthography of Arabic in Romanization

- 18. Capitalization:
 - (a) Rules for the capitalization of English are followed, except that the definite article *al* is given in lower case in all positions.
 - (b) Diacritics are used with both upper and lower case letters.

al-Ījī	الايجي
al-Ālūsī	الألوسي

19. The macron or the acute accent, as appropriate, is used to indicate all long vowels, including those which in Arabic script are written defectively. The macron or the acute accent, as the case may be, is retained over final long vowels which are shortened in pronunciation before *hamzat al-waşl*.

Ibrāhīm	ابراهيم ، إبر هيم
Dā'ūd	داؤود ، داؤد
Abū al-Ḥasan	ابو الحسن
ru'ūs	رؤوس
dhālika	<u> </u>
ʻalá al-ʻayn	على العين

- 20. The hyphen is used:
 - (a) To connect the definite article *al* with the word to which it is attached. See rule 17(a).
 - (b) Between an inseparable prefix and what follows. See rules 16(b) and 17(b) above.
 - (c) Between *bin* and the following element in personal names when they are written in Arabic as a single word. See rule 25.

- 21. The prime (') is used:
 - (a) To separate two letters representing two distinct consonantal sounds, when the combination might otherwise be read as a digraph.

Ad'ham	أدهم
akramat′hā	أكرمتها

(b) To mark the use of a letter in its final form when it occurs in the middle of a word.

Qal'ah'jī	جىقلعه
Shaykh'zādah	شيخ زاده

22. As in the case of romanization from other languages, foreign words which occur in an Arabic context and are written in Arabic letters are romanized according to the rules for romanizing Arabic.

Jārmānūs (*not* Germanos *nor* Germanus) Lūrd Ghrānfīl (*not* Lord Granville) Īsāghūjī (*not* Isagoge)

For short vowels not indicated in the Arabic, the Arabic vowel nearest to the original pronunciation is supplied.

Gharsiyā Khayin (not García Jaén)

غرسيا خين

Examples of Irregular Arabic Orthography

23. Note the romanization of الله, alone and in combination.

25.	Note the follamzation of, afone and in e	omomation.
	Allāh	الله
	billāh	بالله
	lillāh	لله
	bismillāh	بسم الله
	al-Mustanşir billāh	المستنصر بالله
24.	Note the romanization of the following per	sonal names:
	Ţāhā	طه
	Yāsīn	يس ، يسن
	'Amr	عمرو
	Bahjat	بهجت ، بهجة
25.	and بن are both romanized <i>ibn</i> in all pos ابن	itions.
	Ahmad ibn Muhammad ibn Abī al-	احمد بن محمد بن ابي الربيع (Rabī
	Sharḥ Ibn 'Aqīl 'alá Alfīyat Ibn Mā	Rabī' احمد بن محمد بن ابي الربيع ilik شرح ابن عقيل على الفية ابن مالك
	Exception is made in the case of modern na	
	which the element بن is pronounced <i>bin</i> .	
	Bin Khiddah	بن خده
	Bin-'Abd Allāh	بنعبد الله
26.	Note the anomalous spelling مائة, romanize	d mi'ah.

FOREWORD



Assalamu'alaikum wr. wb.

All praise and glory to Almighty Allah SWT, Lord of the Universe, the One to whom all dignity, honor, and glory are due, the Unique with perfect attributes, who begets not, nor is He begotten. With Allah's will and mercy, this final project had been possible. Peace and blessing of Allah be upon His Last Prophet and Messenger, Muhammad SAW., his household, his companions, and all those who follow them in the righteousness until the Day of Judgment.

At every process of this project, author received a lot of assistance and insight from various respective parties. Earnest gratitude is hereby delivered upon:

- Prof. Dr. H. Yudian Wahyudi, Ph.D, as the great Rector of State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta.
- 2. Dr. H. Safiq Mahmadah Hanafi, M.Ag., as the Dean of Islamic Economics and Business Faculty, along with all the staffs and employees for making every facility and administration access possible.
- 3. Joko Setyono, S.E., M.Si., as the Head of Islamic Banking Department.
- 4. Dr. Misnen Ardiansyah, S.E., M.Si., as author's final project advisor, who has provided the best assistance, helpful advice, and devoted his time.
- 5. All outstanding lectures of Islamic banking department, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, who have shared valuable and insightful knowledge which useful to completing author's study.
- My dearest family; Ali Maskur Masduqi, Salamah, Muhammad Fahrurrozy, Rina Arum, and Muhammad Abigail for always giving me strength, constant love, and their utmost motivation.

- Rina Arum Setyawati; my supportive sister who always helped me through a lot of things from the beginning until the end. Thanks for grant me your solution, comfort, and smart ideas whenever things got difficult.
- 8. For all my comrades in Islamic banking 2014. Hope we will meet again.
- 9. For the closest family I could ever have in Yogyakarta; JPPI Minhajul Muslim, especially Miftachul Jannah, Meilina Arofah, Taifatul Khasanah Inayatun Nadhifah, Asma, Siti Nur Fitri, Izah, Tutus, Umi, Laila, Nisa, Elok, Fida, Ida, for always being there for each other's company.
- 10. Siti Nujanah, Nenci Novitasary, and Asmi Trisna for being my most special girls within all these rollercoaster years of college. Thanks for being my place to share a lot of hardship together.
- Satron Squad in KKN 93; Fathiyah, Nilam, Ipeh, Vicky, Rizqika, Annas, Alfian, Anam, and Ali. Thanks for the teamwork, bond, and togetherness.
- 12. And for every incredible party which could not be mentioned one by one.

Author wishes that all good and kind virtues will be rewarded by Allah SWT, and author also wants to deliver her apologies for any shortcomings and mistakes she has done while trying to finish this project.

Least, hopefully this thesis will give any benefit to anyone who needs it.

Wassalamu'alaikum wr.wb.

Yogyakarta, February 21st 2018

Farah Saufika Pramudita St. N: 14820045

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE i
ABSTRACTii
APPROVAL PAGE iii
VALIDATION PAGE iv
DECLARATION PAGEv
PUBLICATION APPROVAL PAGE vi
QUOTE
DEDICATION PAGE
TRANSLITERATION ix
FOREWORD
TABLE OF CONTENTS
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF APPENDICIES
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION
A. Background1B. Research Question4C. Research Objectives5D. Research Contribution5E. Report Structure6
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW7
A. Theoretical Framework 7 1. The Boone Indicator 7 2. Relative Market Power 9 3. Law of Supply and Demand 10 4. Strong Theory of Advertising 11 B. Islamic Banking 12 C. Efficiency Measurement 14 D. The Growth of Market Share 18 1. Market Share 18 2. Market Share and Competitive Strategy 19 3. Marketing Mix 21
4. Price Marketing Strategy 21 5. Promotion Marketing Strategy 22 E. Previous Researches 23 F. Hypotheses 28 1. Influence of Efficiency to Market Share 28

	2. Influence of Price to Market Share	29
	3. Influence of Promotion to Market Share	30
	4. Influence of Price and Promotion as Moderating Variables in the	e
	Relation of Efficiency and Market Share	31
RARI	III: RESEARCH METHOD	33
	Research Design	
В.	Research Objects	
	1. Population	
	2. Sample	
	Data Collection	
	Data Description	
E.		
	Analysis Procedures	
	DEA Efficiency Measurement	
H.	Multiple Linear Regression	
	1. Operational Definition of Variables	
_	2. Regression test	
I.	The Classical Assumption test	
	1. Normality test	
	2. Autocorrelation test	44
BAB 1	IV: DATA ANALYSIS	46
٨	Object Description	16
A. D	Object Description Annual Efficiency Result	40
D.		
C	2. The Descriptive Statistic of Output Variables Efficiency Measurement with DEA	
	Regression Result	
D.	1. Efficiency and Market Share	
	 Efficiency and Market Share with Price and Promotion as its 	
		60
	Moderating Variables	
BAB	V: CONCLUSION	65
۸	Pasaarah Conclusion	65
A. D	Research Conclusion Research Implication	05
D. C	Recommendation	
C.	Recommendation	07
REFE	RENCES	68
APPE	NDICIES	I
TRAN	SLATION	X
CURF		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: List of Current Sharia Commercial Banks in Indonesia	.13
Table 3.1: List of Output and Input Variables	.37
Table 4.1: Result of Purposive Sampling	.46
Table 4.2: Fixed Asset Statistical Result	.47
Table 4.3: Total Deposit Statistical Result	.48
Table 4.4: Personnel Expense Statistical Result	.49
Table 4.5: Current Assets Statistical Result	.49
Table 4.6: Total Loans Statistical Result	.50
Table 4.7: Other Income Statistical Result	.51
Table 4.8: Efficiency Measurement Result with DEA	
Table 4.9: Normality test Result	
Table 4.10: Autocorrelation test Result	
Table 4.11: Descriptive Statistics Result	
Table 4.12: Model Summary (1)	.58
Table 4.13: Analysis of Variance	.58
Table 4.14: Coefficient Table of Market Share and Efficiency	.59
Table 4.15: Coefficient Table of Market Share and Efficiency with Price and	
Promotion as Moderating Variables	
Table 4.16: Model Summary (2)	.63

STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SUNAN KALIJAGA Y O G Y A K A R T A

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Actual Market Share of Islamic Banking in Indonesia	2
Figure 2.1: Law of Supply and Demand	10
Figure 2.1: Output-orientation Efficiency by Farrell	17
Figure 2.3: Thinking Concept of Research Flow Chart	32



LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix 1: Summary of Previous Researches	I
Appendix 2: Overall Input and Output	III
Appendix 3: Overall Advertising Expense	V
Appendix 4: Overall Profit Margin	VI
Appendix 5: Overall Market Share	VII
Appendix 6: Statistic Descriptive Result	VII
Appendix 7: Classical Assumption Result	VII
Appendix 8: Multi-linear Regression Result	VIII



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. Background

Islamic banking is the new banking system in the world that has existed since 1940. As the country which has the majority population of Moslem, the growth of Islamic Banking in Indonesia is naturally expected. It started since the establishment of Muamalat Bank in 1992, and the development had been accelerated since Bank Indonesia allowed conventional banks to open Islamic branch (Antonio, 2009: 26-27). Compared to another developed countries in Europe, Indonesia has not been as rapid as the others in the term of Islamic principle actualization in banking system. Indonesia has already succeeded on achieving positive curve of the Islamic banking growth altogether. As quoted by Rahardjo (1985: 85), Moslem economists have their own urge on finding the meeting point between sharia (Islamic law principle) and conventional economics theory to create a patterned concept that includes individuality, society, and financial institution. Because the basic idea of Islamic banking itself is to avoid any kind of interest application in the system, which we all known it is prohibited (Muhammad, 2005: 8). Even though Islam acknowledges the profit orientation concept in economics, social and moral perspectives are also considered as the harmonizing balance between individual goals and society's (Yasin, 2009: 67).

In 2011, Global Islamic Financial Report (GIFR) stated that Indonesia was ranked as the fourth most potential country in the aspect of Islamic banking development worldwide, below Iran, Malaysia, and Saudi Arabia (Alamsyah, 2014: 1) which doing its activities based on sharia principle. On the other side, in

1

the end of June 2017, total assets of Islamic banking raised by 24% annually to Rp378 trillion, total loans increased by 19% to Rp266 trillion, and Third-Parties Fund (DPK) also grew by 25% to Rp302 trillion (OJK, 2017). Looking at these facts, it is obvious to expect a significant number of market share despite the impressive achievement and the wide population of Moslem generally all over Indonesia. However, the 5% which Bank Indonesia targeted as the percentage of market share projection in 2011 only met a satisfaction in 2016 (infobank, 2016). To ease the understanding, market share's growth of Islamic banking will be defined in figure 1.1. in the form of graph as can be seen below.



(Source: Islamic Banking Statistics of Bank Indonesia from 2012 to 2016) Figure 1.1 Actual Market Share of Islamic Banking in Indonesia

From graph above, we can conclude that there was a fluctuating growth in 2012 to 2016 and an instant significant growth in 2015 to 2016. Even though the progress was appreciable, we cannot deny the fact that Islamic banking actually needs five years gap to attain the 5% target that was projected by Bank Indonesia

in 2011. There are many factors that can be attributed to this phenomenon. One of them is the competitiveness of Islamic banks within the banking system. Because under the dual banking system, Islamic banks have to compete with conventional banks. And one of the most important aspects in competitiveness is the efficiency (Ascarya, 2008: 3).

Efficiency of bank is one of the most important indicators to analyze bank's performance in order to increase the level of effectiveness on monetary policies and to take corrective actions for long-term necessity. Efficiency measurement positions certain company in a circumstance when an industry has to produce optimal output for a given level of input, or spending minimum input for a given level of output (Mansyur, 2012: 4). Inefficiency will create quite of disadvantage to face a strict competition of banking industry. To win the market, Islamic banks shall be able to determine their strengths and weaknesses of themselves also to control possible input into some level of targeted output efficiently. Therefore, analysis of the efficiency of Islamic banks and influence to its market share is very important to provide a big picture about how much efficiency can affect the number of market achieved in the end of the period.

The main problem in this case is the growth of Islamic banking worldwide that does not develop altogether with client's procivity toward Islamic banking in Indonesia as shown by the rate of market share (below 6% per the end of December 2016). Taken by Badan Pusat Statistik (2010), Indonesia has 207 million Moslems which is categorized as the largest Moslem population in the world. But it is statistically claimed that the percentage of its market share cannot even attain the target given. Theoretically, if the input increases, so does the output. It shall be relevant to the optimum return to scale, inputs used result on optimum outputs, yet the optimum return to scale of Islamic banking in Indonesia remains unattained, because there is correlation to efficiency in Islamic banks (Faturohman, 2013: 7). Islamic banking in Indonesia needs five years to reach the 5% market share target possibly due to its inefficiency. Nonetheless, there are already many challenges faced by Islamic banking such as competition and faith issues as the new system applied in Indonesia. Consequently, performing less efficiently will create a critical disadvantage in the market.

Despite the urgency, several studies have done researches focusing on efficiency of Islamic banking. Sufian (2006) contributed in this field by finding that market share of Islamic banks had a significant and positive impact on its efficiency from 2001 to 2004. Nursyiam (2014) also observed the comparison of efficiency between Islamic banks and conventional ones from 2004-2014 then found that the relation toward its market share showing relevant significancy. These previous studies interest author to do some more research on Islamic banks' efficiency due the fact that whereas Indonesia has 207 million populations of Moslem in Indonesia, it still couldn't reach the 5% target of market share before 2016. Because of the lack research focusing on the relevancy of efficiency level against Islamic banking's market share, this paper is meant to determine the growth of Islamic banks from efficiency perspective and correlation to its market share from 2012 to 2016.

B. Research Questions

Based on the statement of the problem and its background above, these are the following questions proposed in this research:

- What is the level of efficiency result of Islamic banking in Indonesia from 2012 to 2016 for all year periods?
- 2. What is the influence of the efficiency level of Islamic banking in Indonesia from 2012 to 2016 toward its market share using Price and Promotion as moderating variables?

C. Research Objectives

The objective of this research summarized into two following goals:

- To analyze the level of efficiency of Islamic banking in Indonesia from 2012 to 2016 for all year periods.
- 2. To analyze the influence of efficiency level of Islamic banking in Indonesia from 2012 to 2016 toward its market share using Price and Promotion as moderating variables.

D. Research Contribution

The contribution of this research is separated into three categories as follows:

1. For Islamic Banking Industry

This paper can be some references to Islamic banking industry in the field of resource management about input and output applied in the performance. In hope that as an intermediary instrument, Islamic banking can reach a satisfying level of efficiency as well as understand how significant it actually affects to their market share.

2. For Author

This research can deepen author's knowledge about this case also increasing experience on analyzing Islamic banking's level of efficiency and its influence toward market share. 3. For Future Research

This paper can be a literature for the upcoming research about Islamic banking efficiency and relation to its market share so it shall be more precise and exact than the previous researches.

E. Report Structure

This report is organized as follows:

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION – Consists of the background of why this study is chosen, research questions, research objectives and report structure.

CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW – Review of any general information about basic theories, Islamic banks, efficiency, DEA measurement, market share, also expose the previous researches about this field and its result to support the understanding.

CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD – Present the type of research, sampling, data collection method and its treatment, instrument and approaches used.

CHAPTER IV: DATA ANALYSIS – Report of empirical result and descriptive analysis on findings in this research.

CHAPTER V: SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION – Answer research questions and conclude with conclusions and recommendations.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

5.1 Research Conclusion

Based on this research, there are these following conclusions that answer the research questions of this analysis.

- The efficiency measurement result presents the level of efficiency from 12 Islamic banks ranged around 0,567 to 1,000 suggests that small-sized bank can operate more efficiently than the large-sized Islamic banks.
- 2. Banks with lowest efficiency score are 0.661 then followed by 0.801. These two banks are categorized as large-sized industry, which implies that Banks with the heft amount of total assets tend to perform rather inefficiently than the others.
- 3. The result of the average efficiency score in Islamic banking industry in the period of 2012 to 2016 is 0.895 with the highest efficiency level in 2016, which is 0.941, and the lowest efficiency level in 2014, which is 0.848, which is means the Islamic banks in Indonesia still perform relatively inefficient in managing the possessed resources.
- 4. Then the summary result of proposed hypotheses
 - a. H_1 = there is a significant relation between Islamic banks' efficiency and its market share. Hypothesis (1) is **accepted**. Islamic banks' efficiency has a positive statistically significant relationship towards its market share by the score of R² as 0,052 or 5.2%.

- b. H_2 = there is a significant relation between Islamic banks' margin and its market share. Hypothesis (2) is **rejected**. Margin has a positive statistically insignificant relationship towards its market share with t statistic value of 0.122.
- c. H_3 = there is a significant relation between Islamic banks' promotion and its market share. Hypothesis (3) is **accepted**. Islamic bank's promotion has a positive statistically significant relationship towards its market share with t statistic value of 5,663.
- d. H_4 = price and promotion moderates the relation between Islamic bank's efficiency and its market share. Hypothesis (4) is **accepted**. Both price and promotion variables are supported to be quasi moderator and showed the result of statistically significant relationship towards its market share.

5.2 Research Implication

The finding of this research about the influence of efficiency toward its market share using price and promotion as moderating variables shows a significant result and accepted hypothesis. The implication is that the three factors, which are margin, advertisement expense, and the efficiency itself, has an impact toward market share's growth simultaneously, even though these are not the only elements matter. It also gives implication that increasing the input of these three variables will lead an increase either for the market share. To attain such level of efficiency, banks need to generate their input optimally for producing the best amount of output.

5.3 Recommendation

There are several recommendations according how the result turned out as follows.

- 1. For the Government, based on the result of DEA measurement, it indicates that in 2016 Islamic banks show a significantly high average of efficiency more than the rest of the time observation. The government can study this issue and develop Islamic bank by learning from the method example applied in the year of 2016.
- 2. For all of banks in Indonesia, based on the result of multi-linear regression, all over banks in Indonesia need to increase their efficiency in order to increase the percentage of market share. It can be done by maximizing the inputs, because it was relevant with the optimum return to scale, and input is used give the result of optimum output.
- 3. For supervisors and regulators of Islamic banks, the results of this research can be used as a consideration for taking the decision of Islamic banking regulation in Indonesia. Especially the decision that related with efficiency of Islamic banks and its market share.
- 4. Since the regression results of Islamic banks show the "statistically significant", they can improve their market share by increasing their efficiency and advertisement expense, also changing the margin settled altogether, although those are not the only elements. There are many other considerations to increase the market share of Islamic banks in Indonesia. It can be the opportunity for the further researches to identify the considerations that can increase the market share of Islamic banks in Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Al-Barry, M. Dahlan & Partanto, Pius A. 1994. *Kamus Ilmiah Populer*. Surabaya: Penerbit ARKOLA Surabaya.
- Alamsyah, Halim. 2014. Perkembangan dan Prospek Perbankan Syariah Indonesia: Tantangan dalam Menyongsong MEA 2015.
- Angipora, Marius P. 2002. *Dasar-dasar Pemasaran*. Jakarta: PT. Raja Larafindo Persada.
- Antonio, Muhammad Syafi'i. 2009. *Bank Syariah: dari Teori ke Praktek*. Jakarta: Gema Insani.
- Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2006. *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Ascarya, Diana Yumanita, Noer A. Achsani, and Guruh S. Rokhimah. 2010. Measuring the Efficiency of Islamic Banks in Indonesia and Malaysia using Parametric and Nonparametric Approaches. Center for Cenrtal Banking Education and Studies, Bank Indonesia.
- Ataullah, Ali., Tony Cockerill, and Hang Le. 2004. Financial Liberalization and Bank Efficiency: A Comparative Analysis of India and Pakistan. Applied Economics Vol. 36, ISS.17.
- Atanasovska, Viktorija. 2015. Efficiency and Market Share in Banking Sector in South East European Countries. Thesis: Staffordshire University.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. 2010. *HASIL SENSUS PENDUDUK 2010*. Periodic Report, Jakarta: Berita Resmi Statistik.

Bank Indonesia. 2014. http://www.bi.go.id/

- Berger, Allen N., Humphrey, & David B. 1997. Efficiency of Financial Institutions: International Survey and Directions for Future Research. European Journal of Operational Research, 98(2).
- Boone, J. 2000. Competition, CEPR Discussion Paper Series No. 2636
- Chortareas, Georgins E., Jesus G. Garza-Garcia, Claudia Girardone. 2006. Banking Sector Performance in Latin America: Market Power Versus Efficiency.
- Cooper, W.W., Seiford L.M., Zhu J. 2011. *Handbook of Data Envelopment Analysis*; retrieved from: <u>http://doi.org/</u> on 2017, November 12th.
- Depag RI. 2007. *Al-Qur'an dan Tafsirnya*. Jakarta: Departemen Agama Republik Indonesia.
- Eusebio, R., Andreu, and Belzebe. 2007. Management Perception and Marketing Strategy in Export Performance: A Comparative Analysis in Italian and Spanish Textile-clothing Sector. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management 11(1).
- Farrell, M. J. 1957. The Measurement of Productive Efficiency. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society.
- Farris, Paul W., Neil T. Bendle, Phillip E. Pfeifer, David J. Reibstein. 2010. Marketing Metrics: The Definitive Guide to Measuring Marketing Performance. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- Faturohman, Taufik. 2013. An Eximination of the Growth of Islamic Banking in Indonesia from 2003 to 2010. School of Economics and Finance, Curtin Business School.
- Fizebakhsh, A.H. 2002. Investigation of Effective Factors on Increase Export of Iran Petrochemical Commercial Company (IPCC) products, Tehran University.
- Foss, Nicolai. 2012. Teams, Team Motivation, and The Theory of the Firm. Managerial and Discussion Economics Journal, 33(5-6).

- Griffith, D. A., and Lee C. 2003. The Marketing Strategy Performance Relationship in an Export-driven Developing Economy: A Korean illustration. International Marketing Review, 21(3).
- Gujarati, Damodar N., & Dawn C. Porter. 2012. *Dasar-dasar Ekonometrika*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Halpern, Laszlo., and Gabor Korosi. 1998. *Efficiency and Market Share in Hungarian Corporate Sector*. Journal of Institute of Economics of Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

Hasibuan, S.P. Malayu. 1994. Manajemen Perbankan. Jakarta: CV. Haji Magum.

Infobank. 2017. http://infobank.org/

Kotler, Philip. 2000. Manajemen Marketing, terjemahan. Jakarta: Prenhallindo.

______. 2003. Manajemen Pemasaran (Analisis Perencanaan, Implementasi, dan Pengendalian). Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Kuncoro, Mudrajad. 2001. *Metode Kualitatif:* Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi. Yogyakarta: AMP YKPN

_____. 2011. *Metode Kuantitatif:* Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi, Edisi Keempat. Yogyakarta: UPP AMP YKPN

- Kurnia, Ahmad Syakir. 2004. Mengukur Efisiensi Intermediasi Sebelas Bank Terbesar di Indonesia dengan Pendekatan Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). Jurnal Bisnis Strategi, Vol. 13/XII/2004
- Kurtz, L., and Boone E. 2011. Principle of Marketing. Mason, Ohio: Thomson.
- Lamb, Charles W., Joseph F. Hair, Carl McDaniel. 2001. *Pemasaran*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Lestari, Etty Puji. 2001. Efisiensi Teknik Perbankan di Indonesia Tahun 1995-1999: Aplikasi Data Enverlopment Analysis. [Tesis]. Universitas Gadjah Mada.

- MacKinnon DP., and Dwyer JH. 1993. *Estimating Mediated Effects in Prevention Studies*. Evaluation Review.
- Malhotra, Naresh K. 2007. Bussiness research : an applied approach 3rd edition.
- Mansyur, Fakhruddin. 2012. Analisis Perbandingan Efisiensi Bank Umum Syariah dan Bank Umum Konvensional di Indonesia Menggunakan Metode Stochastic Frontier Approach (SFA). UIN Sunan Kalijaga.
- Montgomery, D.B., and L.F. Lages. 2005. The Relationship between Export Assistance and Performance Improvement in Portugese Export Venture: An Empirical Test of the Mediating Role of Pricing Strategy Adaptation. European Journal of Marketing 38(7/8).
- Muhammad. 2005. Bank Syariah: Problem dan Prospek Perkembangan di Indonesia. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Mulyamah. 1987. Sistem Efisiensi dan Prosedur Kerja; Edisi I. Jakarta: PT. Bumi Angkasa.
- Nissan, Edward. 2013. Relative Market Power versus Concentration as Measure of Market Dominance: Property and Liability Insurance. Journal of Insurance Issues, 2003, 26, 2, pp. 129–141.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). 2017. http://www.ojk.go.id/
- Pambuko, Zulfikar Bagus. 2016. Determinan Tingkat Efisiensi Perbankan Syariah di Indonesia: Two-Stages Data Envelopment Analysis. Cakrawala Vol. XI(2)
- Porter, Michael E. 2008. *Strategi Bersaing (Competitive Strategy)*. Tangerang: Karisma Publishing.
- Rahardjo, Dawam. 1985. Islamisasi Ekonomi: Suatu Sketsa Evolusi dan Prospek Gerakan Ekonomi Islam. Jakarta: PLP2M.
- Sekaran, Umi. 2006. Research Methods for Business, Edisi 4. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

- Setiaji, Bambang. 2004. *Panduan Riset dengan Pendekatan Kuantitatif*. Surakarta: Program Pasca Sarjana UMS.
- Setiawan, Adi. 2009. Analisis Pengaruh Faktor Makroekonomi, Pangsa Pasar, dan Karakteristik Bank terhadap Profitabilitas Bank Syariah. Thesis: Universitas Diponegoro
- Shepherd, W.G. 1982. Economies of Scale and Monopoly Profits, in Craven, J.V. (Eds), Industrial Organization, Antitrust, and Public Policy, Kluwer Nijhoff, Boston, MA
- Singarimbun, Masri., and Sofian Effendi. 1989. *Metode Penelitian Survey*. Jakarta: LP3S.
- Srivastava, Amit., Madhvi. 2017. Measuring Efficiency of Commercial Banks in India, DEA Study. British Journal of Economics, Finance, and Management Sciences, Vol. 13(2) ISSN 2048-125X
- Sufian, Fadzlan. 2006. The Efficiency of the Islamic Banking Industry in Malaysia: Foreign vs. Domestic Banks.
- Sugiono. 2004. Konsep, Identifikasi, Alat Analisis dan Masalah Penggunaan Variabel Moderator. Jurnal Studi Manajemen dan Organisasi Universitas Diponegoro, Vol. 1 Nomor 2, Th. 2004.
- Sugiyono. 2006. Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis. Bandung: Penerbit Alfabeta.
- _____. 2014. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatitif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Penerbit Alfabeta.
- Supriyono, R.A. 1997. Akuntansi Biaya dan Akuntansi Manajemen untuk Teknologi Maju dan Globalisasi. Yogyakarta: BPFE Universitas Gajah Mada.
- Van Leuvensteijn, M., Bikker J. A., Van Rixtel A. A. R. J. M. and Kok Sorensen C. 2011. A new approach to measuring competition in the loan markets of the euro area. Applied Economics, Vol. 43(23), 3155-3167.

Vincova, Kristina. 2005. Using DEA Models to Measure Efficiency.

- Weyman-Jones, Tom., Meryem Deygun, Mohamed Shaban. 2013. Measuring Competition Using the Boone Relative Profit Difference Indicator: an application to Banking Systems in Emerging Economies. Economics Discussion Paper Series, ISSN 1750-4171.
- Widarjono, Agus. 2009. *Ekonometrika Pengantar dan Aplikasinya*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Ekonisia.
- Widiarti, Astoeti Wahjoe., Hermanto Siregar, and Trias Andati. 2015. The Determinants of Bank's Efficiency in Indonesia.
- Wikipedia, 2017. http://wikipedia.id/
- William, J. Stanton. 1984. Prinsip Pemasaran. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Yasin, M. Nur. 2009. Hukum Ekonomi Islam. Malang: UIN-Malang Press
- Yaumidin, Umi Karomah. 2007. Efficiency in Islamic Banking: A Non-Parametric Approach. Buletin Ekonomi Moneter dan Perbankan.
- Yoefi, A. O. 2008. Perencanaan dan Pengembangan Pariwisata. Jakarta: PT. Pradnya Paramita.
- Yousfi, S Kablan and O. 2011. Efficiency of Islamic and Conentional Banks in countries with Islamic Banking.
- Yudistira, Donsyah. 2004. Efficiency in Islamic Banking: An Empirical Analysis of Eighteen Banks." Islamic Economic Studies.
- Yushita, Amanita Novi. 2012. Bank dan Lembaga Keuangan Lain.
- Zou, S. Fang., and Zhao S. 2003. The Effect of Export Marketing Capabilities on Export Performance: An Investigation of Chinese Exporters. Journal of International Marketing.

APPENDICIES

No	Author	Methods	Varia	ables	Samples	Findings
			Input	Output		
1	Donsyah Yudistira (2004)	Data Envelopme nt Analysis (DEA)	Fixed assets, staff costs, total deposits	Total loans, other income, liquid assets	18 Islamic banks of 12 countries	Inefficiency of 18 Islamic banks are fewer than conventional, which is 10%.
2	Umi Karomah Yaumidin (2007)	Non- parametric DEA	Total loans, other income, total earning asstes	Overheads costs, fixed assets, total deposits	48 Islamic banks of 10 Mid-east countries, and 4 South Asian countries	Mid-east Islamic banks are less efficient than the Southeast Asian ones.
3	Ascarya, Diana Yumanita, Noer A. Achsani and Guruh S. Rokhimah (2010)	SFA, DFA, and non- parametric DEA	Total loans and income	Total deposits, labor, and fixed assets.	Islamic banks in Indonesia and Malaysia	Islamic banks Indonesia is a bit more efficient than Islamic banks in Malaysia.
4	S. Kablan and O. Yousfi (2011)	SFA (Stochastic Frontier Analysis)	Labor, physical capital, and deposits	Loans, liquid assets, and total earning assets	Banks run in 17 countries in Mid-east, Asia, Africa, and UK	Profitability and market power without significant coeficient have negative impact on Islamic banks efficiency
5	Taufik Faturrahm an (2013)	DEA (Data Envelopme nt Analysis)	Customer and Total amount of branches, number of employees		All banks in Indonesia, both Islamic and convention al.	 For all banks in CRS model, the alterations of employee efficiency have a significant impact on alteration in total assets. In VRS model, alterations of Customer community efficiency are significant and have

Appendix 1: Summary of Previous Researches about Efficiency

			Employ	Vee		positive relation toward total assets of Islamic banks. 3. Alteration of Employee and shareholders efficiency have significant impact to alteration of
			Employ Personal	Profit		total assets of
			expenses,	before		conventional banking,
			number of	tax, total		employee efficiency
			employees	loans, total		has positive impact, but the shareholders
				deposits		has the negative impact. 4. There is significant
						and positive correlation for
						alteration in the
			Shareho	lders		number of employees, total loans, total
			Interest	Interest		deposits, and interest
			expense/total	income/t		income, with the
			assets, non- interest	otal assets,		alteration in total
			expense/total	non-		assets of Islamic
			assets	interest		banking. 5. Increasing the
				income/t		number of outlets
				otal		office of Islamic
				assets		banking is not
						efficient, Islamic
						banking have to improve their
						efficiency it's not subject DRS
						performance.
6	Imas Siti	DEA (Data	Fixed assets,	Staff	Banks in	In 2009 to 2013, the
	Nur	Envelopme	total deposits,	costs,	Indonesia,	efficiency of Islamic
	Fatimah	nt Analysis)	liquid assets	total	both Islamic and	bank is statistically
	Nursyiam (2014)	Analysis)		loans, other	convention	significant higher than the efficiency of
	(2017)			incomes	al.	conventional banks,
						and there is
						statistically significant
						relationship between
						efficiency toward its market share.
						market share.

7	Astoesti Wahjoe Widiarti, Hermanto Siregar, Trias Andati (2015)	DEA (Data Envelopme nt Analysis)	Fixed assets, labor costs, third-party funds	Total loans, operation al income, non- operation al income	108 convention al banks in Indonesia.	Indonesian banking industry is inefficient in its intermediation function. Bank's type, NPL, LDR, the size of bank, the CER and the CAR significantly affect the bank efficiency in Indonesia.
8	Zulfikar Bagus Pambuko (2016)	Two-stages Data Envelopme nt Analysis (DEA)	Third-Party Funds, capital.	Total loans, operation al income, investme nt on securities	11 Islamic banks in Indonesia	 CAR, FDR, ROA, NPF, and NIM give positive and significant influence to the efficiency of banking. GCG give negative and sigificant influence to the efficiency. GDP development and inflation give no significant influence to the efficiency of Islamic banking.
9	Amit Srivastava (2017)	DEA (Data Envelopme nt Analysis)	Total deposits, number of employees	Advances , interest income	41 commercial banks operating in India.	It's not necessary all the time that bank giving more profit will always perform efficiently.

Appendix 2: Overall Input and Output of Islamic Banks

BANK	YEAR	CURRENT ASSET	TOTAL LOANS	OTHER INCOME	FIXED ASSET	TOTAL DEPOSIT	PERSONNEL EXPENSE
BMI	2012	11.056.545	32.241.456	402.691	422.600	34.903.830	546.875
BSM	2012	8.871.000	44.755.000	1.139.000	744.000	47.410.000	998.793
BRIS	2012	2.589.901	11.165.356	169.071	123.065	11.014.246	323.383
BSB	2012	806.457	2.596.227	27.272	58.392	2.850.784	48.996
BCA	2012	58.050	1.007.801	14.500	6.800	1.261.800	39.039
BNI	2012	2.711.516	7.513.233	84.109	97.474	8.947.729	317.073
MBS	2012	662.932	1.372.039	10.225	8.545	573.300	23.895
BVS	2012	439.979	476.814	4.494	13.568	646.324	24.086
BPS	2012	586.063	1.512.041	674.000	24.760	1.223.588	1.125.963

BMS	2012	1.791.655	6.077.424	150.098	51.402	7.108.754	320.308
BJB	2012	1.211.361	2.878.959	12.308	194.129	2.975.063	74.899
ME	AN	2.798.678	10.145.123	244.343	158.612	10.810.493	349.392
MED	IAN	1.211.361	2.878.959	84.109	58.392	2.975.063	317.073
MA	X	11.056.545	44.755.000	1.139.000	744.000	47.410.000	1.125.963
MI	IN	58.050	476.814	4.494	6.800	573.300	23.895
BMI	2013	10.177.488	39.849.162	440.453	868.255	41.790.360	754.059
BSM	2013	12.707.000	48.937.000	1.193.000	788.000	56.460.000	1.055.264
BRIS	2013	3.096.763	13.917.594	138.109	163.163	13.794.869	400.267
BSB	2013	867.065	3.218.740	35.251	85.175	3.272.263	59.737
BCA	2013	105.560	1.405.833	6.100	18.558	1.703.000	40.682
BNI	2013	3.171.601	11.051.094	146.964	102.349	11.422.190	461.512
MBS	2013	829.473	1.482.616	18.208	6.138	976.600	26.403
BVS	2013	439.663	1.324.338	1.075	14.126	1.015.791	30.703
BPS	2013	1.420.605	2.581.881	1.352.376	28.526	2.870.310	1.376.420
BTPN	2013	93.803	178.219	2.354	11.466	122.274	0
BMS	2013	1.678.652	7.018.020	318.088	50.960	7.736.248	359.487
BJB	2013	928.125	4.459.790	16.705	160.886	4.695.088	108.721
ME	AN	2.959.650	11.285.357	305.724	191.467	12.154.916	389.438
MED	IAN	1.174.365	3.839.265	86.680	68.068	3.983.676	234.104
MA	X	12.707.000	48.937.000	1.352.376	868.255	56.460.000	1.376.420
MA MI							1.376.420 0
		12.707.000	48.937.000	1.352.376	868.255	56.460.000	
MI	IN	12.707.000 93.803	48.937.000 178.219	1.352.376 1.075	868.255 6.138	56.460.000 122.274	0
MI BMI	N 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620	1.352.376 1.075 313.515	868.255 6.138 2.297.070	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270	0 860.392
MI BMI BSM	N 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000	0 860.392 1.188.806
MI BMI BSM BRIS	2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB	2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BPS	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BPS BTPN	N 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475 901.280	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312 2.498.387	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122 7.264	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860 129.133	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314 2.707.504	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772 427.625
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BPS BTPN BMS	N 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475 901.280 1.268.046	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312 2.498.387 5.279.159	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122 7.264 185.054	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860 129.133 288.660	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314 2.707.504 5.881.057	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772 427.625 339.712
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BVS BPS BTPN BMS BJB	N 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475 901.280 1.268.046 1.537.119	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312 2.498.387 5.279.159 4.205.388	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122 7.264 185.054 149.059	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860 129.133 288.660 160.785	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314 2.707.504 5.881.057 6.090.945	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772 427.625 339.712 124.269
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BPS BTPN BMS BJB MEA	N 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475 901.280 1.268.046 1.537.119 4.081.266	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312 2.498.387 5.279.159 4.205.388 12.029.265	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122 7.264 185.054 149.059 315.097	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860 129.133 288.660 160.785 334.298	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314 2.707.504 5.881.057 6.090.945 14.346.878	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772 427.625 339.712 124.269 484.171
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BVS BPS BTPN BMS BJB MEA	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475 901.280 1.268.046 1.537.119 4.081.266 1.330.761	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312 2.498.387 5.279.159 4.205.388 12.029.265 4.470.850	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122 7.264 185.054 149.059 315.097 91.921	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860 129.133 288.660 160.785 334.298 120.012	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314 2.707.504 5.881.057 6.090.945 14.346.878 5.308.686	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772 427.625 339.712 124.269 484.171 383.669
MI BMI BSM BRIS BSB BCA BNI MBS BVS BPS BTPN BMS BJB MEA MED MA	N 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	12.707.000 93.803 15.691.045 17.038.000 4.468.851 1.226.867 55.514 4.253.207 808.024 333.767 1.393.475 901.280 1.268.046 1.537.119 4.081.266 1.303.761 17.038.000	48.937.000 178.219 41.613.620 47.394.000 15.414.781 3.649.935 2.113.135 14.786.038 1.617.548 1.042.881 4.736.312 2.498.387 5.279.159 4.205.388 12.029.265 4.470.850 47.394.000	1.352.376 1.075 313.515 1.002.000 83.454 42.238 7.400 100.387 5.817 6.856 1.878.122 7.264 185.054 149.059 315.097 91.921 1.878.122	868.255 6.138 2.297.070 725.000 151.925 80.808 19.994 110.890 4.743 12.707 29.860 129.133 288.660 160.785 334.298 120.012 2.297.070	56.460.000 122.274 51.206.270 59.821.000 16.964.251 3.994.957 2.338.700 16.246.405 1.043.050 1.132.086 4.736.314 2.707.504 5.881.057 6.090.945 14.346.878 5.308.686 59.821.000	0 860.392 1.188.806 447.030 66.060 51.595 644.458 30.770 31.565 1.597.772 427.625 339.712 124.269 484.171 383.669 1.597.772

BRIS	2015	7.360.464	16.290.297	130.460	156.188	20.148.155	509.098
BSB	2015	1.335.431	4.237.654	60.816	110.754	4.756.303	73.144
BCA	2015	49.500	2.935.730	9.400	40.000	3.255.200	65.056
BNI	2015	3.119.000	17.384.000	118.814	160.000	19.322.756	669.585
MBS	2015	573.097	1.053.888	3.811	2.857	938.980	30.176
BVS	2015	308.073	1.161.037	1.231	11.583	1.128.908	26.233
BPS	2015	1.397.015	5.617.677	1.017.918	54.139	5.620.680	1.736.244
BTPN	2015	1.184.659	3.657.777	4.834	175.319	3.809.967	621.813
BMS	2015	975.187	4.099.479	615.364	339.014	4.354.546	265.509
BJB	2015	1.308.837	8.287.249	64.018	170.979	4.413.060	134.460
MEA	AN	4.016.251	12.906.648	273.139	490.339	14.578.453	531.632
MED	IAN	1.322.134	4.927.666	91.416	158.094	4.584.682	387.304
MA	X	18.181.750	51.328.990	1.017.918	2.485.000	62.112.880	1.736.244
MI	N	49.500	1.053.888	1.231	2.857	938.980	26.233
BMI	2016	11.342.000	38.370.000	325.000	2.638.000	41.920.000	881.000
BSM	2016	22.448.840	56.003.100	860.000	2.252.680	69.949.860	1.433.750
BRIS	2016	9.29 <mark>1.739</mark>	17.542.968	127.967	140.816	22.045.058	538.227
BSB	2016	1.94 <mark>4.</mark> 458	4.702.263	40.091	138.779	5.442.609	91.293
BCA	2016	311.940	3.418.404	13.500	50.724	3.842.300	80.702
BNI	2016	7.368.000	19.933.000	102.000	215.000	24.230.000	751.000
MBS	2016	677.655	566 <mark>.352</mark>	5.968	4.372	714.720	35.177
BVS	2016	384.678	1.337.876	969	1.666	1.204.681	27.161
BPS	2016	2.185.526	6.263.401	1.295.280	84.132	6.899.008	1.827.366
BTPN	2016	1.775.019	4.940.873	4.895	186.338	5.387.564	771.058
BMS	2016	986.873	4.670.114	502.978	324.460	4.973.126	160.897
BJB	2016	2.317.504	5.340.013	84.218	174.425	5.453.390	161.005
ME A	AN	5.086.186	13.590.697	280.239	517.616	16.005.193	563.220
MED:	IAN	2.064.992	5.140.443	93.109	157.621	5. <mark>448.000</mark>	349.616
MA	X	22.448.840	56.003.100	1.295.280	2.638.000	69.949.860	1.827.366
MI	N	311.940	566.352	969	1.666	714.720	27.161

Appendix 3: Overall Advertising Expense of Islamic Banks

	BANK	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	MUAMALAT (BMI)	59.548	75.240	70.810	97.083	18.125
2	SYARIAH MANDIRI (BSM)	107.456	81.184	55.512	56.187	53.708
3	BRI SYARIAH (BRIS)	12.399	20.977	29.333	40.015	20.977
4	SYARIAH BUKOPIN (BSB)	3.169	4.820	4.181	6.957	7.373
5	BCA SYARIAH (BCAS)	1.203	649	1.064	1.252	602

	AVERAGE	22.262	20.568	26.679	41.811	31.031
12	BANK JABAR BANTEN SYARIAH (BJBS)	1.848	7.062	13.947	67.369	13.175
11	MEGA SYARIAH (BMS)	7.424	6.953	6.433	147.378	167.061
10	TABUNGAN PENSIUNAN NASIONAL SYARIAH (BTPNS)		202	72.981	3.621	6.548
9	PANIN SYARIAH (BPS)	330	1.187	4.097	4.814	4.751
8	VICTORIA SYARIAH (BVS)	61	335	100	116	64
7	MAYBANK SYARIAH (MBS)	1.021	1.279	2.006	936	983
6	BNI SYARIAH (BNIS)	50.420	46.928	59.685	76.000	79.000

(in million rupiah)

Appendix 4: Overall Profit Margin of Islamic Banks

	BANK	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	MUAMALAT (BMI)	0,48	0,46	0,45	0,43	0,44
2	SYARIAH MANDIRI (BSM)	0,66	0,69	0,71	0,64	0,63
3	BRI SYARIAH (BRIS)	0,67	0,65	0,65	0,60	0,58
4	SYARIAH BUKOPIN (BSB)	0,59	0,63	0,57	0,52	0,42
5	BCA SYARIAH (BCAS)	0,36	0,35	0,39	0,43	0,46
6	BNI SYARIAH (BNIS)	0,72	0,80	0,72	0,72	0,68
7	MAYBANK SYARIAH (MBS)	0,79	0,78	0,78	0,74	0,52
8	VICTORIA SYARIAH (BVS)	0,69	0,62	0,51	0,32	0,24
9	PANIN SYARIAH (BPS)	0,39	0,53	0,56	0,59	0,57
10	TABUNGAN PENSIUNAN NASIONAL SYARIAH (BTPNS)	KA	LIJA	0,97	0,97	0,90
11	MEGA SYARIAH (BMS)	0,85	0,89	0,93	0,92	0,88
12	BANK JABAR BANTEN SYARIAH (BJBS)	0,40	0,49	0,48	0,71	0,72
	AVERAGE	0,60	0,63	0,64	0,63	0,59

	BANK	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1	MUAMALAT (BMI)	0,0067	0,0088	0,0111	0,0115	0,0131
2	SYARIAH MANDIRI (BSM)	0,0081	0,0105	0,0119	0,0142	0,0185
3	BRI SYARIAH (BRIS)	0,0021	0,0029	0,0036	0,0049	0,0065
4	SYARIAH BUKOPIN (BSB)	0,0005	0,0007	0,0009	0,0012	0,0016
5	BCA SYARIAH (BCAS)	0,0002	0,0003	0,0005	0,0009	0,0012
6	BNI SYARIAH (BNIS)	0,0016	0,0024	0,0035	0,0046	0,0066
7	MAYBANK SYARIAH (MBS)	0,0003	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0003
8	VICTORIA SYARIAH (BVS)	0,0001	0,0002	0,0003	0,0003	0,0004
9	PANIN SYARIAH (BPS)	0,0003	0,0007	0,0011	0,0014	0,0021
10	TABUNGAN PENSIUNAN NASIONAL SYARIAH (BTPNS)		0,0000	0,0007	0,0010	0,0017
11	MEGA SYARIAH (BMS)	0,0012	0,0015	0,0013	0,0006	0,0005
12	BANK JABAR BANTEN SYARIAH (BJBS)	0,0006	0,0008	0,0011	0,0013	0,0017

Appendix 6: Statistic Descriptive Results

Descriptive Statistics								
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation			
MARKET_SHARE	59	,0000,	,0185	,003115	,0042549			
EFFICIENCY	59	,2962	1,2104	,847038	,1749106			
ADVERTISEMENT	59	61	167061	28575,24	38780,191			
MARGIN	59	,01	,97	,6076	,19170			
EFFMARG	59	,00	,93	(EDC ,5113	,18198			
EFFADS	59	45,29	148036,50	25263,4809	34710,47534			
Valid N (listwise)	_59	NK	A		A			

Appendix 7: Classical Assumption test Results

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	Df	Sig.	Statistic	Df	Sig.
MARKET_ SHARE	,260	2		,875	4	,319

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

			Model Summary [®]		
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	,545 ^a	,297	,231	,0037321	1,283

a. Predictors: (Constant), EFFMARG, ADVERTISEMENT, EFFICIENCY, MARGIN, EFFADS

b. Dependent Variable: MARKET_SHARE

Appendix 8: Multi-linear Regression test Results

Variables Entered/Removed ^a					
Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method		
1	EFFMARG, ADVERTISEME NT, EFFICIENCY, MARGIN, EFFADS ^b		Enter		

a. Dependent Variable: MARKET_SHARE

b. All requested variables entered.

Coefficients^a

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			90,0% Co Interva	
S	AIE	Std.	MIC UN	IVE	RSH	Lower	Upper
Model	В	Error	Beta	Т	Sig.	Bound	Bound
1 (Constant)	-7,419E-6	,006		-,001	,239	-,008	,001
EFFICIENCY	,005	,008	,196	1,968	,054	,001	,009
ADVERTISE MENT	2,333E-7	,000	2,126	5,663	,000	,000	,000
MARGIN	,001	,010	,056	,122	,733	-,016	,018
EFFADS	2,279E-7	,000	1,859	1,676	,100	,000	,000
EFFMARG	-,006	,012	-,273	-,518	,067	,001	,009

a. Dependent Variable: MARKET_SHARE

Model Summary					
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	
Model	R	R Square	Square	Estimate	
1	,545 ^a	,297	,231	,0037321	

a. Predictors: (Constant), EFFMARG, ADVERTISEMENT,

EFFICIENCY, MARGIN, EFFADS

-			ANOVA			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	,000	5	,000	4,478	,002 ^b
	Residual	,001	53	,000		
	Total	,001	58			

a. Dependent Variable: MARKET_SHARE

b. Predictors: (Constant), EFFMARG, ADVERTISEMENT, EFFICIENCY, MARGIN, EFFADS



TRANSLATION

PAGE	TRANSLATION		
TAOL	CHAPTER II		
15	And give the relative his right, and [also] the poor and the		
	traveler, and do not spend wastefully.		
15	Indeed, the wasteful are brothers of the devils, and ever has Satan		
	been to his Lord ungrateful.		



CURRICULUM VITAE

Personal Details

Name	: Farah Saufika Pramudita
Place/Date of Birth	: Yogyakarta, December 11 th 1995
Gender	: Female
E-mail	: <u>faraahsaufika@gmail.com</u>
Father's Name	: Drs. Ali Maskur Masduqi, MM.
Mother's Name	: Salamah, S. Ag.



Educational Background

- 1. 2000 2002, TK ABA Sapen
- 2. 2002 2005, SD Muhammadiyah Sapen
- 3. 2005 2008, SD Islam Al-Azhar 16
- 4. 2008 2011, SMP Islam Al-Azhar 15
- 5. 2011 2014, Madrasah Aliyah Ali Maksum Yogyakarta
- 2014 (exp.) 2018, Islamic State University (UIN), Islamic Economics and Business Faculty (FEBI), Islamic Banking Department.